Monoclonal Antibody-Based Therapies for Hematologic Malignancies

By Pratik S. Multani and Michael L. Grossbard

<u>Purpase</u>: To review recent advances in the development and clinical roles of monoclonal antibody (MoAb)-based therapies in the treatment of hematologic malignancies.

<u>Design:</u> A search of MEDLINE and CANCERUT was conducted to identify relevant publications. The bibliographies of these references also were used to identify articles and abstracts. These references were then reviewed.

Results: In the two decades since the first patient was treated with MoAb therapy, there have been significant advances in the biology, pharmacology, and clinical application of MoAb-based therapies. Three distinct fields of research have emerged: unconjugated MoAbs, immunotoxin-conjugated MoAbs (ITs), and radionuclideconjugated MoAbs (RICs). The unconjugated MoAbs are less toxic but depend on host mechanisms to mediate

efited most from the advances in cancer treatment over the past several decades. Although modern therapies have increased remission rates, most patients still ultimately succumb to their disease. The barriers to cure include tumor-cell resistance and the unacceptable toxicity of available treatments, which limit optimal cytotoxic dosing and make these therapies unavailable to debilitated or older patients. Even the success in such diseases as Hodgkin's disease has been tainted by the alarming rate of malignant and nonmalignant sequelae of therapy in these otherwise cured patients. The challenge remains to develop less toxic, but more effective, targeted therapies.

The idea of recruiting antibodies to the fight against cancer dates at least to 1953, when Pressman and Korngold¹ showed that antibodies could specifically target tumor cells. Not until the 1975 publication by Kohler and Milstein,² however, in which they described their Nobel prize—winning work in hybridoma technology, did a continuous supply of monoclonal antibodies (MoAbs) that targeted prespecified antigens become available. By 1979, Nadler et al³ treated the first patient with MoAb therapy. Since then, more than 2,000 cancer patients have received MoAb serotherapy. The present review will describe the development of these exciting agents and consider the present and potential roles for MoAbs in the treatment of hematologic malignancies. Although MoAbs also are used in the purging of autologous stem cells ex vivo and in the treatment of graft-versus-host

cytotoxicity. The ITs carry a potent toxin, although at the cost of a narrow therapeutic index that may limit clinical use. The RICs offer significant potency, even in refractory disease, but their complexity may limit their use to large cancer centers. The current challenges in the development of MoAb-based therapies are to identify the proper target antigens, contend with bulk disease in which penetration may be limited, and choose the optimal clinical settings for their use, such as the minimal residual disease state or in combination with conventional chemotherapy.

Conclusion: Although significant research is still needed, MoAb-based therapies promise to offer new options for the treatment of patients with hematologic malianancies.

J Člin Oncol 16:3691-3710. © 1998 by American Society of Clinical Oncology.

disease, these topics have been extensively reviewed elsewhere^{4,5} and will not be examined here.

In general, three main classes of cytotoxic MoAbs have been developed. The first consists of unconjugated MoAbs, in which the MoAb itself mediates cell death. The other two classes are composed of MoAbs conjugated either to a potent toxin or a radioisotope. Despite the simplicity of the concept, researchers have confronted a number of technical difficulties, which include the selection of a proper target antigen, efficient delivery of the MoAb to that target, complete eradication of tumor at all sites of disease, and the attendant toxicities of the MoAb therapy itself.

The selection of a proper target antigen is essential because it cannot also be shared by critical host tissues. Fortunately, hematologic malignancies express some antigens not shared by other crucial organs, although typically they are shared by one or more normal lymphoid and/or myeloid cell compartments. Cross-reactivity with normal host cells is tolerable as long as the progenitor or stem-cell

From the Hematology/Oncology Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA.

Submitted March 12, 1998; accepted July 16, 1998.

Address reprint requests to Michael L. Grossbard, MD, Cox 2, Massachusetts General Hospital, 100 Blossom St, Boston, MA 02114; Email grossbard.michael@mgh.harvani.edu.

^{© 1998} by American Society of Clinical Oncology. 0732-183X/98/1611-0019\$3.00/0

population remains intact and can regenerate the normal hematologic tissue.

The target antigen must also be present either on all neoplastic cells or on the self-renewing clonogenic population of neoplastic cells. Furthermore, target antigen expression must persist despite the strong negative selection applied by MoAb therapies. Malignant disease may escape therapy if an antigen-negative clone develops, a problem encountered in some of the earlier trials that used anti-idiotype MoAbs to treat patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL).^{6,7} Radioimmunoconjugates (RICs) are an exception to this requirement because the radioisotope emits particles with enough energy to kill adjacent, potentially nonantigen-bearing cells.

Appropriate target antigens also must respond properly after they bind the MoAb. In the case of unconjugated MoAbs, the antigen-MoAb complex cannot undergo internalization or shedding, but rather must remain on the cell surface to allow the exposed constant portion (Fc) to activate host effector mechanisms. In contrast, MoAb-toxin conjugates (immunotoxins [ITs]) must be internalized, which allows the toxin access to critical intracellular processes. MoAb-radioisotope conjugates (RICs) vary in their antigenmodulation requirements, which depend on the specific radioisotope used. Antigen density and MoAb binding affinity also influence MoAb cytotoxic efficacy. 8.9

The MoAb must have access to tumor cells at all sites of disease. Bulky tumors with poorly vascularized centers and high interstitial pressures may hamper MoAb diffusion. 10-12 Moreover, lymphomatous nodes may have disproportionately poor capillary permeability, which further limits MoAb penetration. 13 MoAb fragments (Fab' fragments) and smaller 25-kd, single-chain, antigen-binding proteins have the potential to penetrate deeper and more rapidly into tumor masses. 14,15 Unfortunately, the serum half-life of these agents appears to be shortened, which lowers the mean serum concentration and decreases the concentration gradient that drives diffusion into the tissue sites. 16

Circulating tumor cells pose different problems. Most trials that include patients with peripheral-blood involvement show rapid clearance of circulating malignant cells. 17-19 Unfortunately, these responses are usually transient because the collective bulk of circulating disease acts as a sink for the MoAb, which leads to its rapid clearance from the circulation. 19,20 Circulating free antigen presents an analogous problem. 21

Once the MoAb reaches the tumor cell, it must cause cell death. The MoAb may trigger complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC)²² or antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC). Murine MoAbs are less effective than

human MoAbs at mediating such host effector mechanisms. Accumulating evidence also points to direct MoAb cytotoxic effects on tumor cells.^{23,24} For example, the MoAb may block binding of an endogenous ligand, which deprives the cell of a critical survival signal,²⁵ or it may mimic it, which triggers cytotoxicity or growth arrest.^{26,27}

Thus, the properties of the target antigen, the MoAb, and their interaction in vivo determine the activity of a given MoAb therapy. The final determinant of success is the degree of nonspecific toxicity attributable to the MoAb. The side-effect profile of MoAb therapies varies considerably, depending on whether the MoAb is conjugated and the nature of the conjugated moiety. Because these agents are foreign proteins, acute allergic reactions are common. Approximately one third of the patients experience infusion-related symptoms, which include fevers, rigors, and diaphoresis in approximately 20% and hypersensitivity reactions, such as urticaria, pruritis, and bronchospasm, in approximately 20%. Rarely, anaphylaxis develops.

Toxicity also may result from the MoAb cross-reacting with normal host tissues. Many MoAbs directed against hematologic malignancies deplete a subset of lymphoid or myeloid cells. Another consequence of the administration of xenogenic MoAbs is a host humoral response, specifically the formation of human antimouse antibodies (HAMA). With ITs, a separate humoral response to the toxin conjugate also may develop. Approximately one third of the patients will develop a HAMA response. Even profoundly immunosuppressed patients, such as those after bone marrow transplantation^{29,30} or with advanced HIV disease,³¹ can form HAMA responses. These host responses may substantially alter the pharmacokinetics of the MoAb if readministered, which leads to rapid clearance from the circulation and limits the ability to re-treat patients. 32,33 The theoretical possibility of inducing serum sickness also exists.

Techniques to humanize murine MoAbs have been developed to ameliorate the host humoral response. Through genetic engineering methods, the murine variable regions responsible for antigen recognition can be spliced into a human immunoglobulin (Ig) backbone,³⁴ which creates a chimeric protein with a prolonged serum circulation time and substantially less immunogenicity,³⁵⁻³⁷ which allows repeated dosing.³⁸⁻⁴¹ The human Fc portion of a chimeric MoAb also improves its ability to mediate CDC and ADCC, which increases potency compared with the parent murine MoAb.^{39,42-44} Acute infusion-related toxicities appear to be identical to those seen with murine MoAbs^{38,41,45} but decrease substantially with second and subsequent doses.⁴⁶

UNCONJUGATED MOABS

Unconjugated MoAbs constitute the simplest application of targeted MoAb therapy. Table 1 lists the major clinical trials of unconjugated MoAbs in hematologic malignancies. Despite early limited successes, a number of barriers to its effective use had to be overcome. Early attempts to choose target antigens focused on maximizing selectivity. In the case of surface Ig-expressing B-cell malignancies, the idiotype of the Ig molecule represents a unique tumor-specific cell-surface antigen and, thus, a logical target for MoAb therapy. 74

A series of trials in a total of 34 patients with NHL used a broad range of doses of anti-idiotypic MoAbs and documented clinical responses in 23 patients with six complete responses (CRs),6,48,75,76 five of which lasted more than 5 years.77 Biopsy specimens of numor and bone marrow showed antibody binding, which proved that these large molecules could penetrate into tumor-involved tissues.⁴⁸ Unfortunately, relapses with idiotype-negative tumor resulted in tumor escape from therapy,7,49,78,79 The presence of circulating shed idiotype48,80 and the formation of HAMA responses further limited efficacy.33,48 Finally, the need to custom make anti-idiotypic MoAbs based on each patient's tumor made this specific therapy untenable. Because of these difficulties, anti-idiotype therapy has been largely abandoned. Current approaches target hematopoietic, sometimes lineage-specific, antigens that are common to all tumors of a given subtype, such as B-cell NHL or T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). The trade-off is that normal host cells that express these antigens also are targeted, which contributes to the toxicity profile of these otherwise well-tolerated agents.

All the trials published to date have been phase I or II studies that used a broad range of doses and schedules with widely varying efficacy rates (Table 1). The anti-CD20 MoAb, Rituxan (IDEC Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA. and Genentech, Inc, San Francisco, CA), has been the most extensively studied unconjugated MoAb. This murinehuman chimeric MoAb consists of the murine variable regions from the parent 2B8 MoAb grafted onto a human IgG1 constant-region backbone. 43 The CD20 antigen is nearly an ideal target for unconjugated MoAb therapy because it is not expressed on precursor B cells or stem cells. but is found in high density on mature B cells (normal and malignant), with the exception of plasma cells.81 The antigen is not shed and does not appear to undergo modulation in response to antibody binding. Furthermore, in vitro data suggest that MoAb binding to CD20 may trigger apoptosis27 and thereby decrease reliance on host CDC or ADCC.

The initial phase I trials, which used a single bolus dose

and repeated weekly dosing in patients with relapsed CD20+ B-cell NHL, were stopped before the dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) was reached, despite the administration of more than a gram of MoAb to some patients, 41,62 which attested to the benign side-effect profile of this particular MoAb. Subsequent phase II trials enrolled more than 200 patients with relapsed low-grade and follicular NHL. 46,63 The patients received 375 mg/m² of Rituxan weekly for 4 weeks. Although these patients had a median of two prior relapses, the response rate was 48%, with 6% CRs. Many patients had no detectable residual disease within peripheralblood and bone marrow compartments, based on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis for the t(14:18) translocation, although patients still had nodal involvement.82 The median time to response was approximately 2 months, with some patients who continued to show progressive responses for several months thereafter.

Toxicities were generally minor and consisted almost entirely of acute infusion-related reactions. As expected, the normal mature B-cell population rapidly declined after treatment and recovered over 3 to 9 months. There was no increase in the rate of infections, probably because Ig and T-cell levels remained stable. Finally, only minimal HAMA responses were documented in less than 1% of the patients. Based on these data, the Food and Drug Administration approved the regimen of 375 mg/m² weekly for 4 weeks for the treatment of relapsed low-grade or follicular NHL.

Preliminary data are available on the use of Rituxan in conjunction with combination chemotherapy. In vitro studies showed synergistic cytotoxicity when CD20+ cell lines were treated with Rituxan followed by chemotherapy.83,84 One provocative study treated 38 patients with low-grade NHL (3) patients were previously untreated) with Rituxan in combination with full-dose cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP) chemotherapy (M. Czuczman, personal communication, March 1998). The response rate was 100%, with about two thirds CRs. Furthermore, of eight patients who had detectable disease in peripheral blood and bone marrow, based on PCR analysis for t(14;18), seven patients achieved a clinical CR and became PCR-negative in the blood and bone marrow, an unusual occurrence for patients treated with chemotherapy alone. 85 To date, one of these patients has become PCR+ for t(14;18), although he remains in a clinical CR. Follow-up data are still too immature to determine whether Rituxan. either alone or in combination, will affect the natural history of low-grade NHL.

Rituxan also has been used to treat small numbers of patients with intermediate-grade (aggressive) NHL. A phase II study of 54 patients with relapsed or refractory intermediate-

Table 1. Major Studies of Unconjugated MaAbs

i i			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	some i, major studies of unconjugarea mados	ed modelos			
Disease	iorget Antigen	Madå	ž	total Coxe	Schedule	Outcome"	Hadda	Reference
B cell B-MH, relapsed	Unknown	Ab89	err.	250 mg	IV ewer 5 hours	Transient decrease in cir-	NA A	ю
5-NHL, relapsed	g idiohpe	Anti-id ± IFNa or pulse	40	400 mg-15.5g	Wover 4 hours	4 CR, 22 PR (many of short duration)	6/27	6, 47-49
B-NHL, relapsed	CD23	OK87	88	0.1-40 mg (trace labeled with 1918)	N over 60 minutes	No responses	5/18	S
8-cell NHL/CLL/ALL, majority relapsed	CD52	CAMPATH-1M ± -1G (rat MoAb)	90	50-875 mg	IV over 3 hours	1 CR, all patients showed decrease in circulating buttor cells	2/18	53
至2.8	CD 22	CAMPAIH-IH (rat/hu chimeric)	2	85-126 mg	IV over 2-4 hours	2 PR	2/0	94
Cll, previously untrealed	CD52	CAMPATH 1H	۵.	30 mg 3× week × 18 weeks	(V (5 patients) or SC (4 patients)	3 CR, 5 PR (CLL cells cleared from PB in oill patients and from BM in 7)	¥ Z	52
Cli, relapsed	CD52	CAMPATH-1H	53	30 mg 3× week × 12 weeks	IV over 2 hours	CR, 11 PR (CLL cells decired from PS in 28, BM in 10)	Ž Ž	83 83
CU/PU, relapsed	CD52	CAMBATH-1H	*	$30 \text{ mg } 3 \times \text{week} \times 6 \cdot 12$ weeks	3C	1 CR (PU), 3 PR (CIJ)	NA A	27
Citi, relapsed	CD52	CAMPATH-1H	22	30 mg 3% week % 6 weeks	25	5 CR, 2 PR of 8 assessable	A A	55
8-NHL, relapsed	å	CIB-CD19 7: A'-2	13 {7 with rt-2}	225-1,000 mg total dase with continuous IV rit-2	N over 4 hours × 4 days or twice weekly × 12 weeks	1 PR (brief) without rll-2 and 1 PR with slL-2	0	56, 57
All, rehpsed	CD10	35	শ	13.5-425 mg over 3-8 inhisions	IV over 15 minutes to 4 hours	3 Patients with decrease in circulating blasts	A A	21
CLL, relapsed	ê	1101		1-140 mg per dose	2-50 hours Twice weekly × 4 weeks	Transient decrease in cir- culating cells	0/13	88
Cit, relapsed	D	noı	φ.	10-500 mg per dose	24-hour continuous (V, 1 to 8 treatments every 1 to 4 weeks	2 Patients with transient decrease in circulating cells	9/0	55 26
B-NHL, refractory B-NHL, refractory	HLA-DR CD20	LYM-1 1F5	o. 4 o. 4	58-465 mg per dose 52.4 mg·2.38 g	IV, weekly × 4 Continuous IV over 5 to 10	Mo responses 1 PR fasting 6 weeks	1/4	63 63
B-NHL, faw-grade, retained	0000	DEC-C288	13	10-500 mg/m²	ooys {V bolus	2 PR of 15 assessable	٥	45
B-NH, relapsed	0 20	IDEC-C288	92	125-375 mg/m² weekly for 4 weeks	8V bolus	6 PR, 5 MR of 18 assess- able, including 4 of 6 patients with bulky disease	٥	62
8-NFR, low-grade, relapsed	CD20	IDEC-C288	203	375 mg/m² weekly × 4 weeks	IV bolos	50% CR + PR		46, 63

54	\$5	98	29	33	65	25, 68	69,70	ĸ	22	R	38
Å A	٥	0	NA A	4/7	5/10	3/19	4/15, 3 of low liter	0	1/3	4/6	0
4 CR, 11 PR of 47 assessable, median time to maximal response was 9 weeks	67% CR, 33% FR, 7 of B patients converted to PCR-regative in PB and BM offer treatment	2 CR, 13 PR of 26 assessable	24 CR, 8 PR	**************************************	4 Patients with transient decrease in circulating cells	2 CR, 4 PR, remissions lasted from 9 weeks to > 3 years	6 PR	9 CR, 2 PR	3 Patients with transiers decrease in circulating blasts	2 Patients with transient decrease in circulating blasts	No responses
IV bolus	N bolus	iV bolus	₹V bolus	IV over 4-5 hours	24-hour cantinuous N, 1 to 8 treatments every 1 to 4 weeks.	N over 5-445 days	IV bolus	N over 2 hours	1V over 8 hours to 7 days	IV over 20 minutes doily × 4·6 days	IV over 20 minutes every 72 to 96 hours
375 mg/m² weekly × 8 weeks or 375 mg/m² × 1 followed by 500 mg/m² × 7 weeks	375 mg/m² + CHOP × 6	375 mg/m² weeks 5-8 + #Pka 5 MU/m² 3× week × 3 months	375 mg/m² + CHOP × 6	13-761 mg, over 4 to 17 tradiments	10-500 mg per dose	100-490 mg	10-80 mg twice weekly × 3 weeks or 50-200 mg	30 mg 3 times weekly × 12 weeks	170-660 mg	6-76 mg (trace labeled with 1911)	0.5-10 mg/m² × 6 dases over 18 days (one dase frace (abeled with ¹² !!) up to 216 mg
54	38	ŧë	33	7	0	19	15	15	m	0.	
DEC-C288	IDEC-C288	IDEC-C288	DEC-C288	Anti-lau-1	1301	Anti-TAC	04[4]2	CAMPATH-1H	PMN 6, PMN 29, PM-81, AMI-2-23	M195	Hu-M195
CD20	0200	82 D	CD20	CDS	CDS	CD25	CD4	CD52	CD14, CD15, and others	80	CD33
B:NHL, intermediate- or high-grade, relapsed	B-tviHk, iow-grade, relapsed	B-NHL, low-grade, relapsed	B-NHI, intermediate- or high-grade, pre- vicusty untreated 1 Cell	T-NHL, relapsed	CTCL, both previously untreated and relapsed	T-Att, both previously untreated and relopsed	CTCL, relapsed	Ptt. relapsed Myeloid	AM	AMI.	AML, relapsed

Abbreviations: CR, complete response; PR, partial response; MR, minor response; NA, not available; FNA, interferon alpha; CHL, chlorambucil; ril-2, recombinant interleukin-2; PB, peripheral blood; BM, bane marrow; CTCL, cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; IV, introvenous; SC, subautoneous; hu, humonized; MU, million units. *Only complete and partial responses are reported.

ate- or high-grade NHL, which included 12 patients with mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), showed a 32% single-agent response rate.64 Three responses were in the 11 assessable MCL patients. A trial of CHOP and Rituxan has also been conducted in 33 patients (27% aged older than 65 years) with previously untreated intermediate-grade NHL, 73% of whom had stage HI or IV disease. This combination resulted in a response rate of 97%, with 73% CRs.67 The study also showed that the two modalities could be administered together safely without increased toxicity. Rituxan is currently being tested in other B-cell malignancies, which include chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), MCL, and multiple myeloma. In the latter case, although plasma cells do not express CD20, there is some evidence that the clonogenic precursor may.86 Large cooperative group trials are addressing the use of Rituxan as an adjuvant therapy for patients with intermediate-grade NHL. Maintenance therapy is also being explored,⁸⁷ although antigen escape may limit its use.88

Another MoAb that has undergone evaluation is the CAMPATH-1 MoAb, targeted against CD52, an antigen expressed by both B and T cells, as well as monocytes and granulocytes, but not stem cells. 89 Early studies used a broad range of multiple bolus doses of rat monoclonal IgM or IgG to treat 20 patients with a range of B-cell malignancies, which included NHL, CLL, and ALL. 51 The IgG depleted malignant cells from blood, bone marrow, and spleen but had no appreciable effect on lymph nodes or extranodal sites of disease. Toxicities were mostly infusion related, such as fever, rigors, malaise, nausea, and vomiting, as well as bronchospasm and angioederna in a few patients.

The humanized version, CAMPATH-1H, has shown efficacy in CLL, although with significant toxicity. A series of studies in patients with previously untreated or refractory CLL that used a fixed dose of 30 mg three times weekly either intravenously or subcutaneously for 6 to 18 weeks documented response rates that ranged from 42% to greater than 80% and a 12-month median duration of response. 52-55 Again, lymph nodes were the most resistant sites of disease,55 which argued for poor penetration of CAMPATH-1H. Toxicities consisted of infusion-related reactions. World Health Organization grade IV neutropenia or thrombocytopenia of short duration in some patients, and grade IV lymphopenia in all patients, which led to profound immunosuppression. CD4 counts remained depressed for months in some patients. 90 and there were multiple cases of opportunistic infections, mostly viral reactivation. 91 A separate study in 15 patients with T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia (PLL) also showed a CR in nine patients.71 Unfortunately, two patients developed bone marrow aplasia; one patient died as a result. These aplastic events argue that although CD52 may not be identifiable on early stem cells, a progenitor population may be depleted after treatment with the CAMPATH MoAb. In summary, despite evidence of activity in CLL/PLL, the CAMPATH-1H MoAb underscores the impact that antigen choice and cross-reactivity with normal tissues has on toxicity. Pivotal trials are in progress to secure approval in the United States for the use of CAMPATH-1H to treat refractory CLL.

MoAbs targeted against CD19, 56,57 CD21, 50 and HLA-DR60 also have been tested in patients with B-cell NHL with limited success (Table 1). The CD19 and CD22 antigens are known to internalize on antibody binding, which makes them poor targets for unconjugated MoAb therapy. 92 Minor, transient responses also have been observed with the T101 MoAb, which targets CD5, a T-cell antigen expressed by CLL cells, 58,59 Studies showed that CD5 undergoes rapid modulation in response to T101 therapy. Other target antigens include CD30 for the treatment of anaplastic large-cell NHL93 and CD40 for B-cell malignancies. 26

CD10 and CD25 have been exploited as targets for the therapy of ALL. The former, also termed the common ALL antigen (CALLA), is expressed by a large proportion of ALL cells. An early phase I trial with the anti-CALLA J5 MoAb in four patients resulted in an immediate and rapid decrease in circulating blasts. Unfortunately, a population of CALLA blasts persisted, and as serum levels of J5 waned, CALLA+ blasts re-emerged. ^{17,94} In studies with the anti-Tac MoAb, which recognizes the interleukin-2 (IL-2) receptor, some patients experienced CRs that lasted up to 3 years. ^{25,68} T-cell depletion, however, resulted in several immunosuppression-related complications.

Finally, a number of studies have used unconjugated MoAbs to treat patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. The T101 MoAb again was unimpressive in a phase I study of 10 patients who received a 24-hour intravenous infusion. ⁵⁹ More significant, but short-lived, responses were seen with the cMT412 chimeric MoAb, targeted against CD4, another T-cell restricted antigen. ^{69,70} Skin lesions responded in most patients, and cMT412 was shown on skin biopsy specimens. Although CD4 counts were depressed at the higher doses, the rate of opportunistic infections did not increase.

There is only limited published experience in the use of unconjugated MoAbs in the treatment of acute myelogenous leukemia (AML). A cocktail of IgM and IgG MoAbs selected for their ability to recognize granulocytes and/or monocytes was used to treat three patients with AML and resulted in only transient decreases in circulating blasts. ⁷² An unconjugated anti-CD33 MoAb was studied in 10 patients with AML, again with limited responses in two patients. ⁷³

Thus, unconjugated MoAbs continue to hold significant promise for the treatment of hematologic malignancies. The body of research to date identifies the importance of such issues as antigen modulation, host cross-reactivity, and tumor bulk in the efficacy and safety of these agents. The CD20 antigen appears to be one suitable target, and further studies with Rituxan will help delineate its ultimate place in the treatment of a variety of B-cell malignancies. As this field expands, the goal is to create an armamentarium of unconjugated MoAbs capable of treating every hematologic malignancy.

IMMUNOTOXINS

An alternative to identifying MoAbs with both specificity and cytotoxicity has been the development of ITs. These constructs involve the linkage of the MoAb to a protein toxin, which allows the targeting and cytotoxic functions to be divided between the two moieties, 95.99 although ADCC may still contribute to overall IT cytotoxicity. 100 Growth factors and other natural ligands, such as IL-2, also can be used to carry toxins. 101-105 The toxins used (eg. ricin, diphtheria toxin [DT]) are highly potent natural products that disrupt protein synthesis at picomolar concentrations. 106 These IT constructs are at least three orders of magnitude more potent than unconjugated MoAbs in vitro, which show clinical responses at milligram doses. 18,106

Unlike unconjugated MoAbs, which require the antigen-MoAb complex to remain on the cell surface, most ITs must be internalized after antigen binding to allow the toxin access to the cytosol. 107,108 Once inside, intracellular protein trafficking mechanisms must convey the toxin to the ribosomal complex. 95

Plants and bacteria have been the source of most of the toxins studied. Ricin is a two-chain plant toxin that has been studied extensively in the treatment of hematologic malignancies. 109-113 DT, the only bacterial toxin used to date in the treatment of hematologic malignancies, also disrupts protein synthesis. 114 Although the conjugation of toxin to MoAb confers some target specificity, the binding domains of the toxins continue to mediate nonspecific binding to normal host tissues. Thus, the structure of the native toxin must be modified to delete the binding properties while cytotoxic activity is preserved. The simplest solution is to eliminate the binding domain. 115,116 Examples of such ITs include the ricin-based RFB4-dgA, directed against the CD22 antigen for the treatment of B-cell lymphomas,20 and anti-T101-RTA, for the treatment of CLL.117 Without the binding properties of the native toxin, these ITs rely on the internalization of the target antigen to achieve cytotoxicity, which thereby limits the choice of exploitable IT targets, An alternative strategy involves retaining the binding domain but with modifications to limit nonspecific binding, which has been used with both ricin-and DT-based ITs. 118,119

An alternative approach to IT development has been to fuse the DNA sequences that encode a toxin to the DNA elements that encode the antigen-recognition site (Fv) of the MoAb, which creates a relatively small molecule with both binding and cytotoxic properties. [20-122] The DAB₄₈₆IL-2 and DAB₃₈₉IL-2 fusion toxins embody this approach, in which DNA sequences for IL-2 replace domain 1 of DT. [103,123] Although these smaller molecules may have better penetration into bulky tumors and reduced immunogenicity, [134] they have lower binding affinities and may be less potent than whole MoAb conjugates. [125]

The vast majority of human trials of IT therapy have been phase I studies, designed to determine the maximum-tolerated dose and DLT (Table 2). Collectively, these trials have shown that therapeutic serum levels of IT, capable of up to a 5-log tumor cell cytoreduction based on preclinical data, can be achieved in humans with tolerable systemic and organ-specific toxicities. Both bolus dosing 18.29 and continuous infusion schedules 19,126 have been tested with no demonstrable advantage to continuous infusion.

A striking feature of the IT trials is the relatively uniform toxicities seen, despite the broad variation in MoAbs and toxins used. Most phase I studies were dose limited by the development of a vascular leak syndrome, characterized by features that included hypoalbuminemia, weight gain, peripheral edema, pulmonary edema, hypotension, and pericardial effusions. ^{18,19,103,124,126,127,138,141} Toxin-mediated endothelial injury has been implicated as the cause of this reaction. ¹⁴⁶ Other common side effects include fever, malaise, nausea, and hypersensitivity reactions (attributable to the murine origin of ITs). Reversible transaminase level elevations are seen frequently, particularly with anti-B4-blocked ricin (bR)^{18,19} and DAB₄₈₆IL-2. ^{102,103,134} The dgA-ITs have been associated with rhabdomyolysis and CNS toxicities. ^{20,124,126}

Another common finding among IT trials is the high rate of host humoral responses to either the MoAb or toxin component. Re-treatment is not feasible in most patients who develop an HAMA or antitoxin response, because the IT is rapidly cleared from the serum and can be neutralized by the host antibodies. However, studies with the DAB₄₈₆IL-2¹³³ and DAB₃₈₉IL-2 fusion toxins¹⁴³ showed clinical efficacy despite the presence of detectable HAMA and anti-DT titers, which implied that not all humoral responses are neutralizing.

Table 2 lists the major published clinical trials of IT therapy, grouped by disease category. The trials in patients with NHL that used ITs composed of either anti-CD19 (anti-B4-bR^{18,19} and HD37-dgA^{127,128}) or anti-CD22 (RFB4-dgA^{20,124,126}) MoAbs, uniformly showed low response rates

Immunotoxins
ਲ
Shudies
gio.
ž
£ 27
ğ

Dispane	forget Antigen	#	ź	Schodule	Mito	foxicity	HAMA/ HARA	Kesponse*	Reherence
B-NHK, relapsed	CD22	Fab' RFB4-dga	, 5	IV over 4 hours every 48 hours × 2 to 6 doses	75 mg/m²	Fever, myalgias, mansiert aphasio, rhabdomy- ahsis, VLS	4/14	5 PR, lasting 1 to 4 months	72
8-NHL, rakpsad	202	igG-RF84-dgA	8	IV over 4 hours every 48 hours × 2 to 12 doses	26-40 mg/m² total dose	Fever, myalgiza, transient aphasia, thabdomy- chesis, VIS	9/24	3 CR, 5 FR, transient, of 24 assessable	92
8-NHL, relapsed 8-NHL, relapsed	⊕ ⊕ ⊕	lgG-RFB4-dgA lgG-HD37-dgA	23 28	Continuous IV for B days IV bolus every 48 France X 4	19.2 mg/m²/8 days 16 mg/m²/8 days	VLS, aphasia, hypotensian VLS, acrocyanosis	6/16 5/15	4 PR 1 CR, 1 PR	126
B-NH, relapsed B-NH, relapsed	ê ê	lgG-HD37-dgA lgG-HD37-dgA	<u>ဂ</u> ဆ	Continuous IV for 8 days IV bohus over 1 hour every 4 hour × 4	89.2 mg/m²/8 days 8 mg/m²	VLS, acrocyanosis VLS, orthostotic hypoten- sion, cholydementasis	2/8	1 PR of 9 assessable 3 PR before death of day B	127
8-cell neaplasms	913	Anti-84-bR	25	W over 1 hour daily × 5 days	250 µg/kg	fronsominase elevations, thrombocytopenia, former fations of the control of the c	9/25	1 CR, 2 PR	82
8-call neoplasms	ê	Ansi-84-br	346	Continuous IV × 7 days	350 µg/kg	fransaminase elevations, thrombacytopenia, dys-	24/34	2 CR, 3 PR	61
B-NHL phase adju- vant post-ABMT	CD19	Anti-84-bR	12	Continuous IV \times 7 days	280 µg/kg	fransaminase elevations, thrombocytopenia, dys-	7/12	7 relapse-free, median F/U 4 years	8
8-14HL phase It adju-	¢ia)	Anti-84-58	Ĉ.	Continuous W × 2 days	210 µg/lBM	piteu, tora, 103 Transaminase elevations, Historifeer Americania, VIS	23	27 relopse-free, median	62
8-NHL phase III adju- vant post-ABMT	CD13	Anti-84-bR	157	Continuous IV × 7 days	210 µg/lBM		Ž	No difference between noti-84-by and control	129
AIDS-NHH, nelopsed AIDS-NHH, previously untreated	ê ê	Anti-E4-b8 Anti-84-b8	28	Continuous IV × 28 days tow-dose m-8ACOD + continuous IV × 7 days with cycles	560 µg/kg 20 µg/kg/d	Transaminase elevations Transaminase elevations, fever, mydigia, fatigue	3/9	1 CR, 1 PR 14 CR, 12 PR, median overall survival 9.1 months	130
117-4	ő	Anti-7101-87A	٥	1 # 4 IV bolus over 1 hour twice	24-112 mg/m²	Fever, nausea, rash	6/1	Rapid, transient decrease	117, 132
MHL, relapsed	CD25	DAB ₂₈₆ R-2	ö	(V bolus day 1, 3-5, 14.30)	0.1 mg/kg/day	Transaminase elevations,	7/18	1 CR, 2 PR, lasting 5 to 18	133
NHI, Hodgkin's, CLL, relapsed	cozs	DAB _{se} At.2	15	IV bolus over 30-60 mir- utes daily × 5 days	0.2 mg/kg/d	Transaminase elevations, hypoolibuminemia, rash, ferest flooribuminemia, rash, chest	6/14	CR in partient with Hodgkin's tasting > 2 years	102
풋	CD25	DABansk-2	23	IV bolus over 90 minutes	0.3 mg/kg/d	Rend insufficiency, hemo-	15/20	2 PR	134
Hodgkin's relapsed	CD25	RFT5-SMTP-dgA	25	Works over 4 hours on day 1, 3, 5, 7 × 1-4 overs	5.20 mg/m² per cycle	yza, a a animo, popenio VLS, hypersensitivity, mydłgias	2/13	2 PR	135, 136

3/22 2 PR (frairy-cell and CTCL) 137	138	12/12 8 PR, fasting 6 weeks to 4 139, 140 months		10/14 4 PR, lasting 3 to 8 morths 141	142	CR 3, PR 18, with median 143, 144 response duration 5 months	145
2 PR (hair)	4 CR, 1 PR	8 PR, fastin months		4 PR, lastin	<u>&</u>	CR 3, PR 1	1/11 21%
	٥	12/12		10/14	య	82	5
fransominase elevations, VLS, fever, thrombocyta- penia	VLS, myolgias	Fever, fatigue, mild thram- bocytopenia, transami- nase elevation, VLS		Fever, chille, nausea, fatigue, VLS	Røversible kansaminase elevations	Constitutional symptoms, VLS, rasts, intections, transaminass elevations	VLS, aphasia
Up to 40 µg/kg/dose	1.25 mg/kg	0.4 mg/kg/d		0.33 mg/kg/d	0.2 mg/kg/d	9 or 18 µg/kg/day	0.2 mg/kg/d
W bolus, day 1, 3, 5	17 Continuous IV × 5 days for 1 or 3 cycles	W bolus over 3 hours day $6.4 \mathrm{mg/kg/d}$ 1 \pm 7		$14 \text{IV bolus daily} \times 10 \text{days} 0.33 \text{mg/kg/d}$	IV bokus over 90 min- utes × 5 days every 21 days	1V bolus, daily × 5 days every 21 days, up to 11 cycles	1V bolus over 1 hour \times 5 0.2mg/kg/d doys
22	2	12		<u>-</u>	**	7	
CD25 Anti-Tac(Fv)-PE38 (LMB-1) 22 IV bolus, day 1, 3, 5	CD19 843-pokeweed antiviral protein	CD30 8erH2-saporin		CDS Anti-H65-RTA	CD25 DAB _{ARC} IL-2	CD25 DAB ₂₆₅ IL·2	Anti-CD7-dgA
C025	619	CD30		9	CD25	CD25	6
CD25+ malignancy	B-All	Hodgkin's, relapsed	10e	CTCL	Advanced CTCL with Sezory syndrome	Mycasis fungaides, relapsed	Trad, Fig.

Abbreviations: 1.C3., large granular lymphocyte lymphoma, VLS, vascular leak syndrome; MTD, maximum-tolerated dose; HARA, human anthodies, ABMT, autologous bone marrow transplant and dexamethasone; LBM, lean body mass; F/U, follow-up. bleomycin, doxorubicin, cyclophosphomide, vincristine, 'Only complete and partial responses are reparted

in the 10% to 25% range. The DAB486IL-1 fusion toxin similarly had limited activity in both NHL and Hodgkin's disease. 102,103,134 Poor penetration into sites of bulky disease has been the explanation offered for these low response rates. 19 The use of ITs to treat leukemia has been equally disappointing, despite the broad array of conjugates that have been tested. 19,138,145,147 These agents fail to show durable efficacy, mainly for the pharmacologic reasons cited earlier. Most trials document a decrease in the number of circulating tumor cells, which return once the IT has been cleared from the circulation.

The minimal disease state would appear to be the optimal setting for IT therapy. Only anti-B4-bR has been tested in the adjuvant setting, in patients with NHL who attained a CR after high-dose chemotherapy and bone marrow transplantation. ^{29,30,148} Initial phase I and II pilot trials appeared to show improved disease-free survival over historic controls, but a subsequent randomized phase III trial could show no benefit with respect to relapse-free or overall survival among the patients treated with anti-B4-bR. ¹²⁹

Future directions in IT research include the search for less immunogenic toxins ¹⁴⁹⁻¹⁵¹ and toxins that act at the cell surface, ^{152,153} which obviates the need for internalization. The use of nonprotein toxins is also being explored. Preclinical and early clinical studies have been conducted with the maytansinoids ¹⁵⁴⁻¹⁵⁶ and the calicheamicins, ¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁶⁰ which are two to three orders of magnitude more potent than conventional chemotherapeutic drugs.

Finally, these agents may have a role in combination with conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy. Preclinical in vitro and in vivo data show synergy between the two modalities. 161-165 Limited clinical trials show that ITs can be administered safely in conjunction with chemotherapy, but it has been difficult to show enhanced cytotoxicity in the absence of a phase III trial.

In summary, the present generation of ITs possess limited clinical activity. Many of the same obstacles encountered with the unconjugated MoAbs also appear to undermine IT efficacy. The main unique obstacle, however, is the toxicity associated with these agents. Although in most cases, side-effects have been tolerable, the vascular leak syndrome may prevent the administration of an adequate quantity of IT. Host immune responses to the MoAb and protein toxin moieties further limit the clinical use of these agents. It is therefore difficult to be sanguine about the prospects for future IT development. Whereas these agents offer the potential to deliver cytotoxic toxins specifically to the malignant cell, they lack the case of use and low side-effect profile of the unconjugated MoAbs, as well as the ability to kill bystander cells offered by RICs.

RADIOIMMUNOCONJUGATES

RICs consist of a radionuclide coupled to an MoAb, with the intent of selectively delivering ionizing radiation to tumor cells. Hematologic malignancies are ideal candidates for such a treatment approach because of their exquisite radiation sensitivity. Although many of the same issues that must be considered in the design of unconjugated MoAb and IT therapies also apply to RIC therapies, these agents offer some distinct advantages.

The two major radionuclides used in RIC synthesis, 131 iodine (1311) and 90 yttrium (90Y), emit \(\beta^- \) particles (electrons). 166 Thus, these agents can induce lethal DNA damage at a distance and kill not only antigen-positive cells, but also neighboring cells that may not express the target antigen¹⁶⁷ or that may be physically inaccessible. Furthermore, RICs do not rely on host effector mechanisms or on antigen internalization and cytoplasmic access to mediate cytotoxicity, factors that limit the potency of unconjugated MoAbs and ITs. Nevertheless, similar obstacles must still be surmounted before RIC therapies become feasible. Among these are nonspecific binding by normal host tissues, which contributes to toxicity, and the presence of shed antigen, circulating tumor cells, or large tumor bulk, which depletes the quantity of RIC available to penetrate into other sites of disease.

Although ¹³¹I and ⁹⁰Y both emit β⁻ particles, ⁹⁰Y emits higher energy particles, which have a longer mean path length (5 mm ν 0.8 mm) and thus deeper tissue penetration. ¹³¹I also emits a high degree of γ radiation and has a half-life of 193 hours, whereas ⁹⁰Y has no concomitant γ emission and has a considerably shorter half-life of 64 hours. Thus ⁹⁰Y has the theoretic advantage of delivering higher radiation doses in a more homogenous distribution. The short half-life and absence of γ emissions with ⁹⁰Y-based RICs also permit outpatient therapy, whereas ¹³¹I-based RICs previously were administered in the inpatient setting under strict radiation isolation. Newer Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations will relax this requirement.

Most studies of RIC therapy require careful dosimetry measurements with trace-labeled MoAbs before the administration of therapeutic doses to ensure that the radiation doses delivered to all sites of tumor exceed the doses to normal organs. Such biodistribution studies are conducted with gamma imaging cameras after the administration of low doses of radioactivity (5 to 10 mCi), using trace-labeled RIC. ¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁴ Only if the biodistribution is deemed favorable can patients receive the pharmacologic dose of RIC. Because patients differ considerably in tumor bulk and location, such dosimetry studies can show strikingly different patterns of predicted radiation exposure.

The distribution, bulk, and total burden of tumor signifi-

cantly determine dosimetry. Spleen size can be a particular problem that disqualifies more than 80% of the patients with splenomegaly from RIC therapy, 173,176 although more recent studies with the 131I-LYM-1 RIC suggest that only massive spleens preclude RIC therapy. 177 A large tumor burden can similarly interfere with a favorable distribution of radiation. Press et al.,175,178 using 1331-labeled anti-CD20 and anti-CD37 RICs, found that dosimetry studies were favorable almost exclusively in patients with a total tumor burden of less than 500 g. In an attempt to improve biodistribution, many studies have administered cold or unlabeled MoAbs before the dose of RIC. 179-181 This maneuver presumably saturates the Fc receptors of reticuloendothelial cells, which minimizes nonspecific binding to normal tissues and thus improves the therapeutic index. Whether it also enhances cytotoxicity is unknown.

RICs also present radiation safety concerns. The requirement for an onsite radiopharmacy and dosimetry calculations may limit the application of this approach to a small number of centers. In contrast to unconjugated MoAbs and ITs, RICs have the additional toxicity of myelosuppression, which has uniformly been the DLT in phase I trials, although there is considerable interpatient variability in the depth and duration of the neutrophil and platelet nadirs. 182 In general, the peripheral counts nadir at approximately 3 to 4 weeks and can remain low for as long as 16 weeks posttreatment before full recovery, 179,183,184 Higher doses of radioactivity generally result in a more rapid, deeper, and prolonged nadir. Other factors, such as prior radiation therapy and cytotoxic chemotherapy, also affect the degree of myelosuppression. One factor specific to RIC therapy is bone marrow involvement by tumor and its correlation with toxicity. Extensive bone marrow involvement can lead to greater binding of RIC at this site and a larger radiation dose delivered to the normal marrow hematopoietic elements.

At higher doses of RIC with stem-cell support, cardiopulmonary toxicity may be dose limiting. A phase I trial conducted by Press et al¹⁷⁸ achieved DLT after one patient developed hemorrhagic pneumonitis and congestive cardiomyopathy and another patient developed hypotension that required pressor support. At nonmyeloablative doses, however, RIC therapy has few other systemic or organ-specific toxicities. As with unconjugated MoAbs and ITs, the infusion of RIC can be associated with acute systemic side effects, such as fever, chills, rash, and nausea. ^{178,184-186} The use of iodine conjugates can cause hypothyroidism, ^{175,178,187} although pretreatment with unlabeled iodine to limit the uptake of ¹³¹I by the thyroid may decrease the risk. Finally, as with other murine MoAbs, RICs also stimulate HAMA responses in about 15% to 25% of the patients. ^{32,171,175,187,188} Re-treatment may be possible, however, in patients who remain HAMA negative after an initial course of therapy. 189

Some RICs are now synthesized with humanized MoAbs, which should minimize host immune responses. 190 The altered pharmacokinetics of these agents, however, have made investigators reluctant to embrace them. Their prolonged serum half-life increases radiation exposure to normal tissues and thus decreases therapeutic index. Thus, even when humanized MoAbs against a given antigen target are available, most researchers have chosen to continue to use the murine form.

Table 3 lists the major clinical trials published to date of RIC therapy for hematologic malignancies. Two trials have used radiolabeled polyclonal antiferritin antibodies in the treatment of patients with refractory ferritin-rich tumors, such as Hodgkin's disease. 199,200 Subsequent trials have used MoAb-radioisotope conjugates exclusively, targeted against hematopoietic surface antigens.

The trials of nonmyeloablative RIC therapy in NHL have reported high response rates despite the enrollment of patients with relapsed or refractory disease, which included some patients in whom prior bone marrow transplantation failed. The ¹³¹I conjugates that target a number of antigens, which include HLA-DR, 188,195 CD20, 171,172,209 CD21, 187 and CD22190,196 have achieved complete or partial remissions in 6% to 79% of the patients; most studies reported a 20% to 30% response rate. Moreover, the response durations in these patients frequently were longer than those achieved with their last chemotherapy regimen, 210 with some patients who continued to live disease free after more than 4 years of follow-up.209 The one study of 131I RIC in the upfront setting in 21 patients with low-grade NHL reported a 100% response rate, with 15 CRs that included eight patients with bulky disease. 186,197 Follow-up, however, is limited.

Based on the limited number of studies to date, the ⁹⁰Y conjugates also appear to be quite active. Studies of ⁹⁰Y RICs composed of the B1 and C2B8 MoAbs, which both target CD20,^{179,211} in patients with relapsed or refractory NHL document 70% to 90% response rates. Other ¹³¹I- and ⁹⁰Y-based RICs targeted against T-cell antigens, such as CD5^{202,203} and CD25,²⁰⁴ also show marked clinical activity.

Even greater response rates in NHL have been reported in the studies that used myeloablative doses of RIC with stem-cell support. [78,183] One study evaluated 25 patients with relapsed B-cell NHL, of whom 22 showed favorable biodistribution studies. Twenty-one patients were ultimately treated with myeloablative doses of an [131]—anti-CD20 (B1) murine RIC, followed by re-infusion of purged autologous stem cells. Sixteen patients achieved CRs, with two more partial responses, which translated into progression-free and overall survival rates of 62% and 93%, respectively, at a

median follow-up to 2 years. Toxicity included one septic death, two other severe infections, and one case of reversible cardiomyopathy and interstitial pneumonitis.¹⁷⁵ A more recent study showed that ¹³¹I-anti-B1 could be safely combined with conventional chemotherapy, which consisted of cyclophosphamide and etoposide, with stem-cell support. This phase I/II trial treated 38 patients with relapsed B-cell NHL, and after a median follow-up of 1.5 years, 78% of the patients were progression free.¹⁹⁸

The limited experience of RICs in the treatment of leukemias has also been impressive, in marked contradistinction to the studies that used ITs and unconjugated MoAbs. Studies have been conducted in both lymphoid^{201,203,212} and myeloid^{32,205} leukemias, with the latter as part of a high-dose chemotherapy regimen with stem-cell support. The largest study, which consisted of 44 patients with AML, myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), or ALL, reported approximately a 45% CR rate using an anti-CD45 ¹³¹I-conjugated RIC in combination with chemotherapy, total-body irradiation, and allogeneic or autologous stem-cell support. ^{207,208} Further work remains to be done to confirm these initial encouraging findings.

Research into improving the efficacy and therapeutic index of RIC therapy is proceeding along several lines. Repeated fractionated dosing instead of single large bolus dosing may be more active with reduced toxicity, 213,214 although HAMA formation could conceivably limit repeated dosing. Recent in vitro evidence also suggests that RICs may be synergistic with certain chemotherapeutic agents, particularly the nucleoside analogs and topoisomerase inhibitors. 215 The use of alpha-emitting radioisotopes, such as bismuth-212 and bismuth-213, also may increase RIC potency because of their ability to deliver higher energy particles over shorter distances, on the order of 10 to 80 μm. Such RICs would be two to three orders of magnitude more cytotoxic than conventional β-emitter-based RICs. 216,217

RICs show great potential for the treatment of hematologic malignancies. Although the most cumbersome of the MoAb-based therapies, they offer marked activity at nonmyeloablative doses, even in the relapsed or refractory disease setting. The question remains whether RICs offer any advantage over conventional total-lymphoid irradiation when they are administered at less than myeloablative doses. Furthermore, although the toxicity profile generally is predictable and tolerable, particularly with modern dosimetric techniques, it is too early to comment on long-term toxicities that may be associated with the irradiation of hematopoitetic stem cells, such as MDS.

Optimized dosing schedules and more potent radionuclides promise to increase the safety and efficacy of these agents. Finally, RICs may become an integral part of

Table 3. Major Studies of Radioimmunaconjugates

Ciceose	Target Anligen	Arrhibody	Dave (mg)	(satabe (dase anC))	ž	Response	Toxicity	Hama	Raferance
Lymphoid 8-MHL	Ć	80 E0	58-1,168	(280,777)	29	23 CR, 4 PR	Savere myelosuppression,	6/1	178, 183, 191
	CD200	185	274	(809)]1(1)		- PR	requiring outologous	0	
	CD37	MB1	275-970	121 (234-628)	\$	6CR	bone marrow rescue in	m	
	Ď	Anti-}d	1,000	131 (232)		<u>a</u>	24/28 potients,	0	
							nausea, elevated TSH		
8-NHL, relapsed	HZ-ZH	LYW-1	8-676	1311 (26-1,044 in 1-16	22	11 CR, 20 PR	Myelosuppression, fever,	3/18	188, 192-194
				dear than			sion		
8-NHL, relapsed	HLA-DR	IYM-1	30-67	1211 (50-267 in 1-2 doses)	13	4 PR	Myelosuppression, fever,	m	195
							nausea, prutitis, chest poin, bronchospasm		
元之 。	C021	OK87	25	131 [90-200 in 3-4 doses]	≈	8.	Myelosuppression, asymptomatic elevation of TSH	12/16	187
8-NHL, relapsed	CD22	TT TT	2.1-7.3	131 (31-102 in 1-3 doses)	*	2 PR	Myelosuppression	ო	961
B-NHL, relapsed	CD22	11.2	1.1 of lgG to 157 of	131 (15-343 in up to 7	33	2 CR, 2 PR of 17 assess-	Муеючрргеззісп	8/19	200
			F(oto /2	doses of 15-50 per dose)		able			
B-NHi, relapsed	CD22	11.2	100 mg lgG	131] (90/m²), myeloobla- tiva with ABMT	ဇာ	2 PR of 2 assessabile, lating 3 and 8 months	Myelosuppression	ž	190
8-NHE, relapsed	CD37	MB-1	40	131 (25-161)	2	1 CR, 2 PR, lasting 3 waters to 6 months	Myelasuppression, fever	7	184
37.2	CD20	â	2.5 mo/kg	1311 (345.785), myeloob-	52	16 CR 2 PR of 18 casess.	Nousea mild macacitic	4/25	375
!		i	o 5	knive with purged autologous stem cells		obje	osymptomatic elevation of TSH, reversible cardiamyopathy and inter-sitiod pneumonihis in 1 octions	ļ	
8-NHL relapsed	00,000		15.20	134-161}	89	20 CR, 22 PR with median response duration of 271 days	Mild feukapenia and thrombocytopenia, fever, nausea, asthenia, chills	٥٠	171, 172
B-NH-II, fow-grade, previously untracted	CD20	₩	35.50	⁽³⁾ [58-142]	8	15 CR, 6 PR including 8 of 13 potients with bulky disease	Myelosuppression, fever, headache, pruntis, myasgias, and orthral- ains	* ~	186, 197
B-NM., relapsed	CD20	<u>~</u>	2.5 mg/kg	⁽³⁾ (318-840) + CTX {100 mg/kg} ± EPP (60 mg/kg} with ABM3 or PBSCT	38	78% progression-free after median F/U of 1.5 years with 37 assess- able potients	A deaths (3 progressive disease, 1 infectious), myelosuppression, rausea, pulmonary infiltrates, vennanclusive disease	₹	88

179	173	• :	193	199	200		201	202	;	203	204		205	32, 206	207, 208	
₹	٥	ì		0	ă		ì	9	,	N	6/15		****	7/19	3	
Myelosuppression (2 potients required stem cell support at the highest dose level), fever, infection	Myelosumoression Itam	sient hypotension		Thrombocytopenia, neu-	17 Received bone morrow	rescue, 3 died of aplasia and 3 of pulmo- nary fibrosis	Fever, pruritis	Myelosuppression, fever,	pruritis, dyspned	۸ ۲	Myelosuppression		Atyelosuppression	Myelosuppression (8 patients received bone marrow support), hepatic toxicity (in one	parient Myelosuppression, muco- sitis, venoocclusive dis- ease	
6 CR, 7 PR	2 CR 3 PR		\$. \$.	1 CR, 14 PR	9 CR, 9 PR		No responses	2 PR		3 98	2 CR, 7 PR of 16 assess-	gpje	20	e e e	15 CR (12 with AMI/MDS and 3 with AUI)	
<u>~</u>	۵۰		'n	37	33		ঋ	90		νο.	82		*4	24	44	
907 (13.5-53.4 in 1-2 dozes)	*** (10-54 in 1-4 excles)		Cu-67 (131-388 in 1-4 doses)	(50-100 in 1-2 doses)	92 (20-80 in 1-3 dases)		131 (25-50)	(051-001) 1:21		804 (NA)	92.Y (5-66 in 1-9 doses of	5-15 per dose!	13:1 (110-330) + CIX (120 mg/kg) + TB! (12 Gy) with ABMT	131 (S 0-210/m²)	124 (76-612) + CTX (120 mg/kg) and TBI (12 Cy) with allogeneic or	ABART
10.3-13.5 mCi/mg	~	i.	135-288	3-10	2.5		5-10	10-16		≨	2-10 per dose		4.3-9.2	KA K	Å A	
81 or C288	Anji-ld	•	£YA4-1	Astiferritin	Anliferritin		1101	1101	į	101	Anfi-TAC		867.6	M195	808	
CD20	_9	p	HZ-CR				CDS	CD\$		ő	CD25		CD33	693	CD45	
B-NHt, relapsed	tymphoid B-fwff	\	8-NHL, relopsed	Hodgkin's relapsed	Hodgkin's, relapsed		ಠ	aa	1	Q <u>u</u> , ClQ	TALL/NHL, both pre-	viously untreated and relapsed Myeloid	AM£	AMI, and MDS	AMI, MDS, and ALL, relapsed	

Abbreviations: PBSCT, peripheral-blood stem-cell transplant; CTX, cyclophosphamide; ETP, etoposide; Cu-67, Copper-67; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; TBI, total-body irradiation; TSH, thyraid-stimulating

^{*}Only complete and partial responses are reported.

myeloablative combination chemotherapy regimens, perhaps augmenting or replacing total-body irradiation. More studies need to be conducted to fulfill this promise, but the prospects are enticing.

In conclusion, the development of MoAb-based therapies has encountered many unforeseen complications, which belies the simplicity of the original concept. Nevertheless, antibody-based therapies continue to hold promise for the targeted treatment of hematologic malignancies. The low toxicity and ease of use make unconjugated MoAbs an attractive modality that permits low-risk outpatient treatment with minimal investment of resources.

The best role for unconjugated MoAbs remains to be determined. Although they show activity as single agents, they may eventually have a greater role in conjunction with conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy or in the minimal disease setting, in which the problems of tumor bulk and circulating disease can be avoided. Maintenance therapy may be another possible use for these agents, although antigen mutation or modulation may limit repetitive administration. The RICs stand at the opposite end of the spectrum and offer significant efficacy but at the cost of toxicity.

Newer dosimetric techniques have improved the ability to predict toxicity, but some degree of myelosuppression cannot be avoided. In addition, only large clinical centers may have the institutional resources to deliver this modality.

The development of ITs had perhaps the most unexpected complications, despite a simple conceptual basis. At its best, the efficacy of the current generation of ITs approximates that of unconjugated MoAbs but with added toxicity. The next generation of toxin conjugates, which use new toxins and humanized MoAbs, may improve the therapeutic index and potency of these agents, but for now, ITs appear to be the furthest of all the MoAb-based therapies from general clinical use.

Thus MoAb-based therapies may have important roles at many phases in the care of the patient with a hematologic malignancy, from initial treatment through relapse and into stem-cell transplant. In each of these settings, a different MoAb-based modality may be used. Much of the work to show the feasibility of delivering these therapies safely has been completed, but considerable work still remains to optimize efficacy and define appropriate uses.

REFERENCES

- Pressman D, Korngold L: The in vivo localization of anti-Wagner ostrogenic sarcoma antibody. Cancer 6:619-623, 1953
- Kohler G, Milstein C: Continuous cultures of fused cells secreting antibody of predefined specificity. Nature 256:495-497, 1975
- Nadler I.M, Stashenko F, Hardy R, et al: Serotherapy of a patient with a monoclonal antibody directed against a human lymphomaassociated antigen. Cancer Res 40:3147-3154, 1980
- Hammert LC, Ball ED: Purging autologous bone marrow with monoclonal antibodies for transplantation in scute myelogenous leukemia. Blood Rev 11:80-90, 1997
- 5. Hammert L.C., Ball ED: Purging marrow or peripheral-blood stem cells for autografting. Curr Opin Hematol 4:423-428, 1997
- Brown SL, Miller RA, Horning SI, et al: Treatment of B-cell lymphomas with anti-idiotype antibodies alone and in combination with alpha interferon. Blood 73:651-661, 1989
- Meeker T, Lowder J, Cleary ML, et al: Emergence of idiotype variants during treatment of B-cell lymphoma with anti-idiotype antibodies. N Engl J Med 312:1658-1665, 1985
- Sung C, Shockley TR, Morrison PF, et al: Predicted and observed effects of antibody affinity and antigen density on monoclonal antibody uptake in solid tumors. Cancer Res 52:377-384, 1992
- van Horssen PJ, van Oosterhout YV, de Witte T, et al: Cytotoxic potency of CD22-ricin A depends on intracellular routing rather than on the number of internalized molecules. Scand J Immunol 41:363-569, 1995
- Jain RK: Physiological barriers to delivery of monoclonal antibodies and other macromolecules in tumors. Cancer Res 50:814s-819s, 1990
- El-Kareh AW, Braunstein SL, Secomb TW: Effect of cell arrangement and interstitial volume fraction on the diffusivity of monoclonal antibodies in tissue. Biophys J 64:1638-1646, 1993
 - 12. Hagan PL, Halpern SE, Dillman RO, et al: Tumor size: Effect on

- monoclonal antibody uptake in tumor models. I Nucl Med 27:422-427, 1986
- Sedłacek H-H, Seemann G, Hoffman D, et al: Antibodies as carriers of cytotoxicity, in Huber H, Queißer W (eds): Contributions to Oncology, Basel, Switzerland, Karger, 1992, p 75
- Yokota T, Milenic DE, Whitlow M, et al: Rapid tumor penetration of a single-chain Fv and comparison with other immunoglobulin forms. Cancer Res 52:3402-3408, 1992
- 15. Reiter Y, Pastan I: Antibody engineering of recombinant Fv immunotoxins for improved targeting of cancer: Disulfide-stabilized Fv immunotoxins. Clin Cancer Res 2:245-252, 1996
- Saito T, Kreitman RJ, Hanada S, et al: Cytotoxicity of recombinant Fab and Fv immunotoxins on adult T-cell leukemia lymph node and blood cells in the presence of soluble interleukin-2 receptor. Cancer Res 54:1059-1064, 1994
- Ritz J, Pesando JM, Sallan SE, et al: Serotherapy of acute lymphoblastic leukemia with monoclonal antibody. Blood 58:141-152, 1981
- Grossbard ML, Freedman AS, Ritz J, et al: Scrotherapy of B-cell neoplasms with anti-B4-blocked ricin: A phase I trial of daily bolus infusion. Blood 79:576-585, 1992
- Grossbard ML, Lambert JM, Goldmacher VS, et al: Anti-B4blocked ricin: A phase I trial of 7-day continuous infusion in patients with B-cell neoplasms. J Clin Oncol 11:726-737, 1993
- Amlot PL, Stone MJ, Cunningham D, et al: A phase I study of an anti-CD22-deglycosylated ricin A chain immunotoxin in the treatment of B-cell lymphomas resistant to conventional therapy. Blood 82:2624-2633, 1993
- Press OW, Howell-Clark J, Anderson S, et al: Retention of B-cell-specific monoclonal antibodies by human lymphoma cells. Blood 83:1390-1397, 1994

- Frank MM: Complement in the pathophysiology of human disease. N Engl J Med 316:1525-1530, 1987
- Leung SO, Wang JR, Losman MJ, et al: Induction of apoptosis on B-lymphoma cells by two rapidly internalizing antibodies. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 39:A432, 1998 (abstr)
- Shan D, Ledbetter JA, Press OW: Apoptosis of malignant human B cells by ligation of CD20 with monoclonal antibodies. Blood 91:1644-1652, 1998
- Waldmann TA, White JD, Goldman CK, et al: The interleukin-2 receptor: A target for monoclonal antibody treatment of human T-cell lymphotrophic virus I-induced adult T-cell leukemia. Blood 82:1701-1712, 1993
- Funakoshi S, Longo DL, Beckwith M, et al: Inhibition of human B-cell lymphoma growth by CD40 stimulation. Blood 83:2787-2794, 1994
- Maloney DG, Smith B, Appelbaum FR: The anti-tumor effect of monoclonal anti-CD20 antibody (mAb) therapy includes direct antiproliferative activity and induction of apoptosis in CD20 positive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) cell lines. Blood 8:A2535, 1996 (abstr)
- Diliman RO, Beauregard JC, Halpern SE, et al: Toxicities and side effects associated with intravenous infusions of murine monoclonal antibodies. J Biol Resp Mod 5:73-84, 1986
- Grossbard ML, O'Day S, Gribben JG, et al: A phase II study of anti-B4--blocked ricin (Anti-B4-bR) therapy following autologous bone marrow transplantation (ABMT) for B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (B-NHL). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 13:293, 1993 (abstr)
- Grossbard ML, Gribben JG, Freedman AS, et al: Adjuvant immunotoxin therapy with anti-B4-blocked ricin after autologous bone marrow transplantation for patients with B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, Blood 81:2263-2271, 1993
- Scadden DT, Doweiko J, Schenkein D, et al: A phase I/II trial of combined immunoconjugate and chemotherapy for AIDS-related lymphoma. Blood 82:A386, 1993 (abstr)
- Schwartz MA, Lovett DR, Redner A, et al: Dose-escalation trial
 of M195 labeled with iodine 131 for cytoreduction and marrow ablation
 in relapsed or refractory myeloid leukemias. J Clin Oncol 11:294-303,
 1993
- Miller RA, Oseroff AR, Stratte PT, et al: Monoclonal antibody therapeutic trials in seven patients with T-cell lymphoma. Blood 62:988-995, 1983
- Yarnold S, Fell HP: Chimerization of antitumor antibodies via homologous recombination conversion vectors. Cancer Res 54:506-512, 1994
- 35. Khazaeli MB, Saleh MN, Lin TP, et al: Pharmacokinetics and immune response of 131i-chimeric mouse/human B72.3 (human gamma 4) monoclonal antibody in humans. Cancer Res 51:5461-5466, 1991
- Meredith RF, LoBuglio AF, Plott WE, et al: Pharmacokinetics, immune response, and biodistribution of iodine-131-labeled chimeric mouse/human IgG1, K 17-1, a monoclonal antibody. J Nucl Med 32:1162-1168, 1991
- LoBuglio AF, Wheeler RH, Trang J, et al: Mouse/human chimeric monoclonal antibody in man: Kinetics and immune response.
 Proc Nati Acad Sci U S A 86:4220-4224, 1989
- 38. Caron PC, Jurcic JG, Scott AM, et al: A phase 1B trial of humanized monoclonal antibody M195 (anti-CD33) in myeloid leukemia: Specific targeting without immunogenicity. Blood 83:1760-1768, 1994
- Caron PC, Co MS, Bull MK, et al: Biological and immunological features of humanized M195 (anti-CD33) monoclonal antibodies. Cancer Res 52:6761-5767, 1992

- Hale G, Dyer MJ, Clark MR, et al: Remission induction in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with reshaped human monoclonal antibody CAMPATH-1H, Lancet 2:1394-1399, 1988
- Maloney DG, White C, Bodkin D, et al: Initial report on a phase I/II multiple dose clinical trial of IDEC-C2B8 (chimeric anti-CD20) in relapsed B cell lymphoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 13:A993, 1994 (abstr)
- Lin AY, Robinson RR, Murray ED Jr, et al: Production of a mouse-human chimeric monoclonal antibody to CD20 with potent Fc-dependent biologic activity. J Immunol 139:3521-3526, 1987
- Reff ME, Carner K, Chambers KS, et al: Depletion of B cells in vivo by a chimeric mouse human monoclonal antibody to CD20. Blood \$3:435-445, 1994
- Mueller BM, Romerdahl CA, Gillies SD, et al: Enhancement of antibody-dependent cytotoxicity with a chimeric anti-GD2 antibody. J Immunol 144:1382-1386, 1990
- 45. Maloney DG, Liles TM, Czerwinski DK, et al: Phase I clinical trial using escalating single-dose infusion of chimeric anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody (IDEC-C2B8) in patients with recurrent B-cell lymphoma. Blood 84:2457-2466, 1994
- Maloney DG, Grillo-Lopez AJ, White CA, et al: IDEC-C288 (rituximab) anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody therapy in patients with relapsed low-grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Blood 90:2188-2195, 1997
- Miller RA, Maloney DG, Warnke R, et al: Treatment of B-cell lymphoma with monoclonal anti-idiotype antibody. N Engl J Med 306:517-522, 1982
- 48. Meeker TC, Lowder J, Maloney DG, et al: A clinical trial of anti-idiotype therapy for B cell malignancy. Blood 65:1349-1363, 1985
- 49. Maloney DG, Brown S, Czerwinski DK, et al: Monoclonal anti-idiotype antibody therapy of B-cell lymphoma: The addition of a short course of chemotherapy does not interfere with the antitumor effect nor prevent the emergence of idiotype-negative variant cells. Blood 80:1502-1510, 1992
- 50. Scheinberg DA, Straus DJ, Yeh SD, et al: A phase I toxicity, pharmacology, and dosimetry trial of monoclonal antibody OKB7 in patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: Effects of tumor burden and antigen expression. J Clin Oncol 8:792-803, 1990
- Dyer MJ, Hale G, Hayhoe FG, et al: Effects of CAMPATH-1 antibodies in vivo in patients with lymphoid malignancies: Influence of antibody isotype. Blood 73:1431-1439, 1989
- 52. Osterborg A, Fassas AS, Anagnostopoules A, et al: Humanized CD52 monoclonal antihody Campath-1H as first-line treatment in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Br J Haematol 93:151-153, 1996
- 53. Osterborg A, Dyer MJ, Bunjes D, et al: Phase II multicenter study of human CD52 antibody in previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukemia. European Study Group of CAMPATH-1H Treatment in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. J Clin Oncol 15:1567-1574, 1997
- 54. Bowen AL, Zomas A, Emmett E, et al: Subcutaneous CAM-PATH-1H in fludarabine-resistant/relapsed chronic lymphocytic and B-prolymphocytic leukaemia. Br J Haematol 96:617-619, 1997
- Rawstron AC, Davies FE, Evans P, et al: CAMPATH-1H therapy for patients with refractory chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Blood 90:A2356, 1997 (abstr)
- Hekman A, Honselaar A, Vuist WM, et al: Initial experience with treatment of human B-cell lymphoma with anti-CD19 monoclonal antibody. Cancer Immunol Immunother 32:364-372, 1991
- 57. Vlasveld LT, Hekman A, Vyth-Dreese FA, et al: Treatment of low-grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with continuous infusion of low-dose recombinant interleukin-2 in combination with the B-cell-

specific monoclonal antibody CLB-CD19. Cancer Immunol Immunother 40:37-47, 1995

- Foon KA, Schroff RW, Bunn PA, et al: Effects of monoclonal antibody therapy in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood 64:1085-1093, 1984
- Dillman RO, Beauregard J, Shawler DL, et al: Continuous infusion of T101 assuccional antibody in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Biol Resp Mod 5:394-410, 1986
- 60. Hu E, Epstein AL, Naeve GS, et al: A phase 1s clinical trial of LYM-1 monoclonal antibody serotherapy in patients with refractory B cell malignancies. Hematol Oncol 7:155-166, 1989
- Press OW, Appelbaum F, Ledbetter JA, et al: Monoclonal antibody 1F5 (anti-CD20) scrotherapy of human B cell lymphomas. Blood 69:584-591, 1987
- Maloney DG, Grillo-Lopez AJ, Bodkin DJ, et al: IDEC-C2B8: Results of a phase I multiple-dose trial in patients with relapsed non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 15:3266-3274, 1997
- 63. McLaughlin P, Grillo-Lopez AJ, Czuczman M, et al: IDEC-C2B8 (rituximab): Clinical activity in clinically chemoresistam (CCRD) low-grade or follicular lymphoma (LG/F NHL) and in patients (pts) relapsing after anthracycline therapy (ANTHRA-RX) or ABMT. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol A16:55, 1997 (abstr)
- 64. Coiffer B, Ketterer N, Haioun C, et al: A multicenter, randomized phase II study of rituximab (chimeric anti-CD20 mAb) at two dosages in patients with relapsed or refractory intermediate or high-grade NHL (IHG-NHL) or in elderly patients in first-line therapy. Blood 90:A2271, 1997 (abstr)
- Czuczman M, Grillo-Lopez AJ, Saleh M, et al: Phase II clinical trial of IDEC-C2B8/CHOP combination therapy in low-grade lymphoma: Preliminary results. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 14:A1261, 1995 (abstr)
- 66. Davis T, Maloney D, White CA, et al: Combination immunotherapy of low-grade or follicular (LG/F) non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) with rituximab and alpha interferon: Interim analysis. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:A39, 1998 (abstr)
- 67. Link BK, Grossbard ML, Fisher RI, et al: Phase B pilot study of the safety and efficacy of rituximab in combination with CHOP chemotherapy in patients with previously untreated intermediate- or high-grade NHL. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:A7, 1998 (abstr)
- Waldmann TA, Goldman CK, Bongiovanni KF, et al: Therapy of patients with human T-cell lymphotrophic virus I-induced adult T-cell laukemia with anti-Tac, a monoclonal antibody to the receptor for interleukin-2. Blood 72:1805-1816, 1988
- Knox SJ, Levy R, Hodgkinson S, et al: Observations on the effect of chimeric anti-CD4 monoclonal antibody in patients with mycosis fungoides. Blood 77:20-30, 1991
- Knox S, Hoppe RT, Maloney D, et al: Treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma with chimeric anti-CD4 monoclonal antibody. Blood 87:893-899, 1996
- Pawson R, Dyer MJ, Barge R, et al: Treatment of T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia with human CD52 antibody. J Clin Oncol 15:2667-2672, 1997
- Ball ED, Bernier GM, Cornwell GGD, et al: Monoclonal antibodies to myeloid differentiation antigens: In vivo studies of three patients with acute myelogenous leukemia. Blood 62:1203-1210, 1983
- Scheinberg DA, Lovett D, Divgi CR, et al: A phase I trial of monoclonal antibody M195 in acute myelogenous leukemia: Specific bone marrow targeting and internalization of radionuclide. J Clin Oncol 9:478-490, 1991
 - 74. Thielemans K, Maloney DG, Meeker T, et al: Strategies for

- production of monoclonal anti-idiotype antibodies against human B cell lymphomas. J Immunol 133:495-501, 1984
- Brown SL, Miller RA, Levy R: Antiidiotype antibody therapy of 8-cell lymphoma. Semin Oncol 16:199-210, 1989
- 76. Vuist WM, Levy R, Maloney DG: Lymphoma regression induced by monoclonal anti-idiotypic antibodies correlates with their ability to induce Ig signal transduction and is not prevented by tumor expression of high levels of bcl-2 protein. Blood 83:899-906, 1994
- 77. Davis TA, Maloney DG, Liles TM, et al: Long-term remissions in patients treated with anti-idiotype monoclonal antibodies for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 15:A1383, 1996 (abstr)
- Gordon J, Abdul-Ahad AK, Hamblin TJ, et al: Mechanisms of tumour cell escape encountered in treating lymphocytic leukaemia with anti-idiotypic antibody. Br J Cancer 49:547-557, 1984
- Cleary ML, Meeker TC, Levy S, et al: Clustering of extensive somatic mutations in the variable region of an immunoglobulin heavy chain gene from a human B cell lymphoma. Cell 44:97-106, 1986
- 80. Caulfield MJ, Murthy S, Tubbs RR, et al: Treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia with an anti-idiotypic monoclonal antibody. Cleveland Clin J Med 56:182-188, 1989
- Anderson KC, Bates MP, Slaughenhoupt BL, et al: Expression of human B cell-associated antigens on leukemias and lymphomas: A model of human B cell differentiation. Blood 63:1424-1433, 1984
- Czuczman M, Grillo-Lopez AJ, McLaughlin P, et al: IDEC-C2B8 clears bcl-2 (t14;18) in patients (pts) with relapsed low-grade or follicular lymphoma (LG/F NHL). Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 38: A565, 1997 (abstr)
- Demidem A, Lam T, Alas S, et al: Chimeric anti-CD20 (IDEC-C2B8) monoclonal antibody sensitizes a B cell lymphoma cell line to cell killing by cytotoxic drugs. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 12:177-186, 1997
- 84. Demidem A, Hanna N, Hariharan H, et al: Chimeric anti-CD20 antibody (IDEC-C2B8) is apoptotic and sensitizes drug resistant human B cell lymphomas and AIDS-related lymphomas to the cytotoxic effect of CDDP, VP-16 and toxins. FASEB J 9:A206, 1995 (abstr)
- Freedman AS, Gribben JG, Neuberg D, et al: High-dose therapy and autologous bone marrow transplantation in patients with follicular lymphoma during first remission. Blood 88:2780-2786, 1996
- 86. Pilarski I.M., Szczepek AJ, Belch AR: Deficient drug transporter function of bone marrow-localized and leukemic plasma cells in multiple myeloma. Blood 90:3751-3759, 1997
- 87. Davis T, Levy R, White CA, et al: Retreatments with Rituxan® (rituximab, IDEC-C2B8) have significant efficacy, do not cause HAMA and are a viable minimally tuxic alternative in relapsed or refractory non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Blood 90:A2269, 1997 (abstr)
- Davis T, Levy R: Therapy of B cell lymphoma with anti-CD20 antibodies can result in relapse with loss of CD20 expression. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 39:A2964, 1998 (abstr)
- Hale G, Phillips JM: Clinical trials with CAMPATH-I and other monoclonal antibodies. Biochem Soc Trans 23:1057-1063, 1995
- Tang SC, Hewitt K, Berinstein NL: Objective clinical responses in patients with recurrent low grade lymphoma treated with CAM-PATH-1H monoclonal antibody. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 14:A1255, 1995 (abstr)
- Clendeninn NJ, Nethersell AB, Scott JE, et al: Early safety and efficacy results using Campath-1H (CP-1H), a humanized antilymphocyte monoclonal antibody (MAB), in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 12:A1286, 1993 (abstr)
- 92. Ghetie MA, May RD, Till M, et al: Evaluation of ricin A chain containing immunotoxins directed against CD19 and CD22 antigens on

- normal and malignant human B-cells as potential reagents for in vivo therapy. Cancer Res 48:2610-2617, 1988
- Tian ZG, Longo DL, Funakoshi S, et al: In vivo antitumor effects
 of unconjugated CD30 monoclonal antibodies on human anaplastic
 large-cell lymphoma xenografts. Cancer Res 55:5335-5341, 1995
- Ritz I, Pesando JM, Notis-McConarty I, et al: Modulation of human acute lymphoblastic leukemia antigen induced by monoclonal antibody in vitro. J Immunol 125:1506-1514, 1980
- Vitetta ES, Fulton RI, May RD, et al: Redesigning nature's poisons to create anti-tumor reagents. Science 238:1098-1104, 1987
- Grossbard ML, Nadler LM: Immunotoxin therapy of malignancy, in Devita VT Ir, Hellman S, Rosenberg SA (eds): Important Advances in Oncology 1992. Philadelphia, PA, Lippincott, 1992, pp 111-135
- 97. FitzGerald D, Pastan I: Targeted toxin therapy for the treatment of cancer. J Nati Cancer Inst 81:1455-1463, 1989
- Hertler AA, Frankel AE: Immunotoxins: A clinical review of their use in the treatment of malignancies. J Clin Oncol 7:1932-1942, 1989
- 99. Pastan I, Willingham MC, FitzGerald DJ: Immunotoxins. Cell 47:641-648, 1986
- 100. Flavell DJ, Noss A, Warnes S, et al: Host antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) contributes to the in vivo therapeutic potency of the anti-CD7 immunotoxin HB2-Saporin in a SCID mouse model of human T-cell leukemia. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 39:A2967, 1998 (abstr)
- Sweeney EB, Murphy JR: Diphtheria toxin-based receptorspecific chimaeric toxins as targeted therapies. Essays Biochem 30:119-131, 1995
- 102. Tepler I, Schwartz G, Parker K, et al: Phase I trial of an interleukin-2 fusion toxin (DAB₄₈₆IL-2) in hematologic malignancies: Complete response in a patient with Hodgkin's disease refractory to chemotherapy. Cancer 73:1276-1285, 1994
- 103. LeMaistre F, Rosenblum M, Reuben J, et al: Phase I study of generically engineered DAB_{aB6}IL-2 in IL-2 receptor-expressing malignancies. Blood 76:A360, 1990 (abstr)
- 104. LeMaistre CF, Rosenblum MG, Reuben JM, et al: Therapeutic effects of genetically engineered toxin (DAB₄₈₆IL-2) in patient with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, Lancet 337:1124-1125, 1991
- 105. Murphy JR: Diphtheria-related peptide hormone gene fusions; A molecular genetic approach to chimeric toxin development. Cancer Treat Res 37:123-140, 1988
- 106. Lambert JM, Goldmacher VS, Collinson AR, et al: An immunotoxin prepared with blocked ricin: A natural plant toxin adapted for therapeutic use. Cancer Res 51:6236-6242, 1991
- 107. Preijers FW, Tax WJ, De Witte T, et al: Relationship between internalization and cytosoxicity of ricin A-chain immunotoxins. Br J Haematol 70:289-294, 1988
- 108. van Oosterhout YV, van den Herik-Oudijk IE, Wessels HM, et al: Effect of isotype on internalization and cytotoxicity of CD19-ricin A immunotoxins. Cancer Res 54:3527-3532, 1994
- 109. Endo Y, Tsurugi K: Mechanism of action of ricin and related toxic lectins on eukaryotic ribosomes. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 17:187-190, 1986
- 110. Endo Y: Mechanism of action of ricin and related toxins on the inactivation of eukaryotic ribosomes. Cancer Treat Res 37:75-89, 1988
- Olsnes S, Sandvig K: How protein toxins enter and kill cells.
 Cancer Treat Res 37:39-73, 1988
- 112. Olsnes S, van Deurs B, Sandvig K: Protein toxins acting on intracellular targets: Cellular uptake and translocation to the cytosol. Med Microbiol Immunol 182:51-61, 1993

- 113. Youle RJ, Neville DM Jr. Kinetics of protein synthesis inactivation by ricin-anti-Thy 1.1 monoclonal antibody hybrids. Role of the ricin B subunit demonstrated by reconstitution. J Biol Chem 257:1598-1601-1982.
- 114. Collier RJ: Effect of dightheria toxin on protein synthesis: Inactivation of one of the transfer factors. J Mol Biol 25:83-98, 1967
- 115. Byers VS, Rodvien R, Grant K, et al: Phase I study of monoclonal antibody ricin A chain immunotoxin XomaZyme-791 in patients with metastatic colon cancer, Cancer Res 49:6153-6160, 1989
- 116. Gould BJ, Borowitz MJ, Groves ES, et al: Phase I study of an anti-breast cancer immunotoxin by continuous infusion: Report of a targeted toxic effect not predicted by animal studies. J Natl Canc Inst 81:775-781, 1989
- 117. Hertler AA, Schlossman DM, Borowitz MJ, et al: An anti-CD5 immunotoxin for chronic lymphocytic leukemia: Enhancement of cytotoxicity with human serum albumin-monensin. Int J Cancer 43:215-219, 1989
- 118. Lambert IM, McIntyre G, Gauthier MN, et al: The galactose-binding sites of the cytotoxic lectin ricis can be chemically blocked in high yield with reactive ligands prepared by chemical modification of glycopeptides containing triantennary N-linked oligosaccharides. Biochemistry 30:3234-3247, 1991
- Johnson VG, Wilson D, Greenfield L, et al: The role of the diphtheria toxin receptor in cytosol translocation. J Biol Chem 263:1295-1300, 1988
- 120. Kreitman RJ, Chaudhary VK, Waldmann T, et al: The recombinant immunotoxin anti-Tac(Fv)-Pseudomonas exotoxin 40 is cytotoxic toward peripheral-blood malignant cells from patients with adult T-cell leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87:8291-8295, 1990
- 121. Kreitman RJ, Chaudhary VK, Kozak RW, et al: Recombinant toxins containing the variable domains of the anti-Tac monoclonal antibody to the interleukin-2 receptor kill malignant cells from patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood 80:2344-2352, 1992
- 122. Chaudhary VK, Gallo MG, FitzGerald DI, et al: A recombinant single-chain immunotoxin composed of anti-Tac variable regions and a truncated diphtheria toxin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87:9491-9494, 1990
- 123. Kiyokawa T, Williams DP, Snider CE, et al: Protein engineering of diphtheria toxin-related interleukin-2 fusion toxins to increase cytotoxic potency for high-affinity IL-2 receptor-bearing target cells. Protein Eng 4:463-468, 1991
- 124. Vitetta ES, Stone M, Amlot P, et al: Phase I immunotoxin trial in patients with B-cell lymphoma. Cancer Res 51:4052-4058, 1991
- 125. Adams GP, Schier R, Crawford R, et al: Improved numor retention and therapeutic potential of single-chain Fv-based diabody molecules. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 38:A554, 1997 (abstr)
- 126. Sausville EA, Headlee D, Stetler-Stevenson M, et al: Continuous infusion of the anti-CD22 immunotoxin IgG-RFB4-SMPT-dgA in patients with B-cell lymphoma: A phase I study. Blood 85:3457-3465, 1995
- 127. Stone MJ, Sausville EA, Fay JW, et al: A phase I study of bolus versus continuous infusion of the anti-CD19 immunotoxin, IgG-HD37-dgA, in patients with B-cell lymphoma. Blood 88:1188-1197, 1996
- 128. Conry RM, Khazaeli MB, Saleh MN, et al: Phase I trial of an anti-CD19 deglycosylated ricin A chain immunotoxin in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: Effect of an intensive schedule of administration. J Immunother Emph Tumor Immunol 18:231-241, 1995
- 129. Grossbard MI., Niedzwiecki D, Nadler LM, et al: Anti-B4blocked ricin (anti-B4-bR) adjuvant therapy post-autologous bone marrow transplant (ABMT) (CALGB 9254): A phase III Intergroup study. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:A8, 1998 (abstr)

130. Tulpule A. Anderson I.J., Levine AM, et al: Anti-B4 (CD 19) monoclonal antibody, conjugated with ricin (B4-blocked ricin: B4BR) in refractory AIDS lymphoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 13:A10, 1994 (abstr)

- 131. Scadden DT, Schenkein DP, Bernstein Z, et al: Combined immunotoxin and chemotherapy for AIDS-related non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Cancer 1998 (in press)
- 132. Hertler AA, Schlossman DM, Borowitz MJ, et al: A phase I study of T101-ricin A chain immunotoxin in refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Biol Resp Mod 7:97-113, 1988
- 133. LeMaistre CF, Meneghetti C, Rosenblum M, et al: Phase I trial of an interleukin-2 (IL-2) fusion toxin (DAB₄₈₆IL-2) in hematologic malignancies expressing the IL-2 receptor. Blood 79:2547-2554, 1992
- 134. LeMaistre CF, Craig FE, Meneghetti C, et al: Phase I trial of a 90-minute infusion of the fusion toxin DAB₄₈₆IL-2 in hematological cancers. Cancer Res 53:3930-3934, 1993
- 135. Engert A, Diehl V, Schnell R, et al: A phase-I study of an anti-CD25 ricin A-chain immunotoxin (RFT5-SMPT-dgA) in patients with refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. Blood 89:403-410, 1997
- 136. Schnell R, Vitetta E, Schindler J, et al: A clinical phase I/II trial with an anti-CD25 ricin A-chain immunosoxin (RFT5-SMPT-dgA) in patients with refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. Blood 90:A1517, 1997 (abstr)
- 137. Kreitman RJ, White JD, Pearson D, et al: Phase I clinical trial of recombinant immunotoxin anti-Tac(Fv)-PE38 (LMB-2) in patients with hematologic malignancies. Blood 90:A2282, 1997 (abstr)
- Uckun FM; Immunotoxins for the treatment of leukaemia. Br J Haematol 85:435-438, 1993
- 139. Falini B, Bolognesi A, Flenghi L, et al: Response of refractory Hodgkin's disease to monoclonal anti-CD30 immunotoxin. Lancet 339:1195-1196, 1992
- 140. Falini B, Pasqualucci L, Flenghi L, et al: Therapy of refractory Hodgkin's disease with anti-CD30/saporin immunotoxin. Fifth International Conference on Malignant Lymphoma, Lugano, Switzerland, June 9-12, 1993, p 66
- 141. LeMaistre CF, Rosen S, Frankel A, et al: Phase I trial of H65-RTA immunoconjugate in patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, Blood 78:1173-1182, 1991
- 142. Foss FM, Borkowski TA, Gilliom M, et al: Chimeric fusion protein toxin DAB₄₈₆IL-2 in advanced mycosis fungoides and the Sezary syndrome: Correlation of activity and interleukin-2 receptor expression in a phase II study. Blood 84:1765-1774, 1994
- 143. Bacha P, Fowlie A, Grimaldo D, et al: Antibody and pharmacokinetic analysis from a pivotal trial of ONTAK(DAB380IL-2) for the treatment of cutaneous T cell lymphoma. Blood 90:A1457, 1997 (abstr)
- 144. Kuzel T, Oisen E, Martin A, et al: Pivotal phase III trial of two dose levels of DAB₃₅₈IL-2 (Ontak) for the treatment of mycosis fungoides. Blood 90:A2607, 1997 (abstr)
- 145. Frankel AE, Laver JH, Willingham MC, et al: Therapy of patients with T-cell lymphomas and leukemias using an anti-CD7 monoclonal antibody-ricin A chain immunotoxin. Leuk Lymph 26:287-298, 1997
- 146. Soler-Rodriguez AM, Ghetie MA, Oppenheimer-Marks N, et al: Riein A-chain and ricin A-chain immunotoxins rapidly damage human endothelial cells: Implications for vascular leak syndrome. Exp Cell Res 206:227-234, 1993
- 147. Laurent G, Frankel AE, Hertler AA, et al: Treatment of leukemia patients with T101 ricin A chain immunotoxins. Cancer Treat Res 37:483-491, 1988
- 148. Greene WC, Leonard WJ, Depper JM, et al: The human interleukin-2 receptor: Normal and abnormal expression in T cells and

in leukemias induced by the human T-lymphotropic retroviruses. Ann Int Med 105:560-572, 1986

- 149. Ueda M, Psarras K, Yamamura T, et al: Molecular targeting of IL-2 receptor in adult T cell leukemias by human recombinant fusion protein. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 39:A433, 1998 (abstr)
- 150. Newton DL., Xue Y, Olson KA, et al: Angiogenin single-chain immunofusions: Influence of peptide linkers and spacers between fusion protein domains. Biochem 35:545-553, 1996
- 151. Rosenblum MG, Cheung L, Mujoo K, et al: An antimelanoma immunotoxin containing recombinant human tumor necrosis factor: Tissue disposition, pharmacokinetic, and therapeutic studies in xenograft models. Cancer Immunol Immunother 40:322-328, 1995
- 152. Pederzolli C, Belmonte G, Dalla Serra M, et al: Biochemical and cytotoxic properties of conjugates of transferrin with equinatoxin II, a cytolysin from a sea anemone. Bioconjug Chem 6:166-173, 1995
- 153. al-Yahyaee SA, Ellar DJ: Cell targeting of a pore-forming toxin, CytA delta-endotoxin from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subspecies israelonsis, by conjugating CytA with anti-Thy 1 monoclonal antibodies and insulin. Bioconiug Chem 7:451-460, 1996
- 154. Liu C, Tadayoni BM, Bourret LA, et al: Eradication of large colon tumor xenografts by targeted delivery of maytansinoids. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:8618-8623, 1996
- 155. Liu C, Bourret LA, Derr SM, et al: Cure of human small-cell lung cancer xenografts in SCID mice by a hN901-maytansinoid immunoconjugate. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 38:A190, 1997 (abstr)
- 156. Chari RV. Martell BA, Gross JL, et al: Immunoconjugates containing novel maytansinoids: Promising anticancer drugs. Cancer Res 52:127-131, 1992
- 157. Hinman LM, Hamann PR, Wallace R, et al: Preparation and characterization of monoclonal antibody conjugates of the calicheamicins: A novel and potent family of antitumor antibiotics. Cancer Res 53:3336-3342, 1993
- 158. Hinman LM, Hamann PR, Beyer CF, et al: Calicheamicin conjugates of the anti-CD33 antibody, p67, show potent, selective antitumor effects in models of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and against human leukemic bone marrow cells. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 35:A3025, 1994 (abstr)
- Hollander II, Hamann PR: Preparation of monoclonal antibodycalicheamicin conjugates. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 37:A3187, 1996 (abstr)
- 160. Hamann PR, Hinman LM, Hollander B, et al: Anti-CD33 calicheamicin hybrid conjugates for the treatment of acute myelogenous leukemia. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 37:A3213, 1996 (abstr)
- 161. Yokota S, Hara H, Luo Y, et al: Synergistic potentiation of in vive antitumor activity of anti-human T-leukemia immunotoxins by recombinant alpha-interferon and daunorubicin. Cancer Res 50:32-37, 1990.
- 162. Weil-Hillman G, Uckun PM, Manske IM, et al: Combined immunochemotherapy of human solid tumors in nude mice. Cancer Res 47:579-585, 1987
- 163. O'Connor R, Liu C, Ferris CA, et al: Anti-B4-blocked ricin synergizes with doxorubicin and etoposide on multidrug-resistant and drug-sensitive tumors. Blood 86:4286-4294, 1995
- 164. Basham TY, Race ER, Campbell MJ, et al: Synergistic antitumor activity with IFN and monoclonal anti-idiotype for murine B cell lymphoma. Mechanism of action. J Immunol 141:2855-2860, 1988
- 165. Ghetie MA, Podar BM, Gordon BE, et al: Combination immunotoxin treatment and chemotherapy in SCID mice with advanced, disseminated Daudi lymphoma. Int J Cancer 68:93-96, 1996
- 166. Simpkin DJ, Mackie TR: EGS4 Monte Carlo determination of the beta dose kernel in water. Med Phys 17:179-186, 1990

- 167. Nourigat C, Badger CC, Bernstein ID: Treatment of lymphoma with radiolabeled antibody: Elimination of tumor cells lacking target antigen. J Natl Canc Inst 82:47-50, 1990
- 168. Wiseman GA, Solinger AM, Grillo-Lopez A, et al: IDEC-Y2B8 (**9Y conjugated anti-CD20) dosimetry calculated from **10In anti-CD20 in patients with low and intermediate grade B cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Emphasis on bone marrow. Blood 90:A2273, 1997 (abstr)
- DeNardo DA, DeNardo GL, O'Donnell RT, et al: Imaging for improved prediction of myelotoxicity after radioimmunotherapy. Cancer 80:2558-2566, 1997
- 170. DeNardo DA, DeNardo GL, Yuan A, et al: Prediction of radiation doses from therapy using tracer studies with iodine-131-labeled antibodies. J Nucl Med 37:1970-1975, 1996
- 171. Stagg R, Wahl RL, Estes I, et al: Phase I/II study of iodine-131 anti-B1 anti-body for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL): Final results. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:A150, 1998 (abstr)
- 172. Kaminski MS, Zasadny KR, Francis IR, et al: Iodine-131anti-B1 radioimmunotherapy for B-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 14:1974-1981, 1996
- 173. White CA, Halpern SE, Parker BA, et al: Radioimmunotherapy of relapsed B-cell lymphoma with yttrium 90 anti-idiotype monoclonal antibodies. Blood 87:3640-3649, 1996
- 174. Parker BA, Vassos AB, Halpern SE, et al: Radioimmunotherapy of human B-cell lymphoma with ⁹⁰Y-conjugated antiidiotype monoclonal antibudy. Cancer Res 50:1022s-1028s, 1990
- 175. Press OW, Eary JF, Appelbaum FR, et al: Phase II trial of ¹³¹I-B1 (anti-CD20) antibody therapy with autologous stem cell transplantation for relapsed B cell lymphomas. Lancet 346:336-340, 1995
- 176. Press OW, Eary JF, Badger CC, et al: Treatment of refractory non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with radiolabeled MB-1 (anti-CD37) anti-body. J Clin Oncol 7:1027-1038, 1989
- 177. Shen S, DeNardo GL, O'Donnell RT, et al: Impact of splenomegaly on therapeutic response and I-131-LYM-1 dosimetry in patients with B-lymphocytic malignancies. Cancer 80:2553-2557, 1997
- 178. Press OW, Eary JF. Appelbaum FR, et al. Radiolabeledantibody therapy of B-cell lymphoma with autologous bone marrow support. N Engl J Med 329:1219-1224, 1993
- 179. Knox SJ, Goris ML, Trisler K, et al: Yttrium-90-labeled anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody therapy of recurrent B-cell lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res 2:457-470, 1996
- 180. Kaminski MS, Fenner MC, Estes I, et al: Phase I/II trial results of ¹³¹ I anti-B1 (anti-CD20) non-myeloablative radioimmunotherapy (RIT) for refractory B-cell lymphoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 15:A1266, 1996 (abstr)
- 181. Kaminski MS, Zasadny KR, Francis IR, et al: Radioimmuno-therapy of B-cell lymphoma with [133]anti-B1 (anti-CD20) antibody. N Engl J Med 329:459-465, 1993
- 182. DeNardo GL, DeNardo SI, Macey DI, et al: Overview of radiation myelotoxicity secondary to radioimmunotherapy using ¹³¹I-Lym-1 as a model. Cancer 73:1038-1048, 1994
- 183. Press OW, Appelbaum FR, Eary JF, et al: Radiolabeled antibody therapy of lymphomas. Biol Ther Cancer Upd 4:1-13, 1994
- 184. Kaminski MS, Fig LM, Zasadny KR, et al: Imaging, dosimetry, and radioimmunotherapy with iodine 131-labeled anti-CD37 antibody in B-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 10:1696-1711, 1992
- 185. Matthews DC, Appelhaum FR, Eary JF, et al: Development of a marrow transplant regimen for acute leukennia using targeted hematopoietic irradiation delivered by ¹³⁴I-labeled anti-CD45 antibody, combined with cyclophosphamide and total body irradiation. Blood 85:1122-1131, 1995
 - 186. Kaminski MS, Estes J, Regan D, et al: Front-line treatment of

- advanced B cell low-grade lymphoma (LGL) with radiolabeled anti-B1 antibody: initial experience. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 16:A51, 1997 (abstr)
- 187. Czuczman MS, Straus DJ, Divgi CR, et al: Phase I dose-escalation trial of iodine 131-labeled monoclonal antihody OKB7 in patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 11:2021-2029, 1993.
- 188. DeNardo GL, DeNardo SJ, O'Grady LF, et al: Fractionated radioinumunotherapy of B-cell malignancies with ¹³¹I-LYM-1. Cancer Res 50:1014s-1016s, 1990
- 189. Wahl RL, Tidmarsh G, Kroll S, et al: Successful re-treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) with iodine-131 anti-B1 antibody. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:A156, 1998 (abstr)
- 190. Juweid M, Sharkey RM, Markowitz A, et al: Treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with radiolabeled murine, chimeric, or humanized LL2, an anti-CD22 monoclonal antibody. Cancer Res 55:5899s-5907s, 1995
- 191. Liu S, Eary J, Martin P, et al; Long-term follow-up of patients with relapsed B cell lymphomas treated with iodine-131-labeled anti-CD20 (B1) antibody and autologous stem cell rescue. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 16:A45, 1997 (abstr)
- 192. DeNardo GL, DeNardo SJ: Treatment of B-lymphocyte malignancies with ^{1M}I-LYM-1 and 67-Cu-2IT-BAT-Lym-1 and opportunities for improvement, in Goldenberg DL (ed): Cancer Therapy With Radiolabeled Antibodies, Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 1994, pp 217-227
- Lewis JP, Denardo GL, Denardo SJ: Radioimmunotherapy of lymphoma: A UC Davis experience. Hybridoma 14:115-120, 1995
- 194. DeNardo G, DeNardo S, Lewis J, et al: Maximum-tolerated dose (MTD) of ¹³¹I LYM-1 in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL); Responses and toxicities. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 13:A1240, 1994 (abstr)
- 195. Kuzel T, Rosen ST, Zimmer AM, et al: A phase I escalatingdose safety, dosimetry and efficacy study of radiolabeled monoclonal antibody LYM-1. Cancer Biother 8:3-16, 1993
- 196. Goldenberg DM, Horowitz JA, Sharkey RM, et al: Targeting, dosimetry, and tadioimmunotherapy of B-cell lymphomas with iodine-131-labeled LL2 monoclonal antibody, J Clin Oncol 9:548-564, 1991
- 197. Kaminski MS, Gribben T, Estes J, et al: I-131 anti-B1 antibody for previously unusated follicular lymphoma (FL): Clinical and molecular remissions. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:A6, 1998 (abstr)
- 198. Press O, Eary J, Liu S, et al: A phase I/II trial of hig-dose iodoine-131 anti-B1 (anti-CD20) monoclonal antibody, etoposide, cyclophosphamide, and autologous stem-cell transplantation for patients with relapsed B-cell lymphomas. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:A9, 1998 (abstr)
- 199. Lenhard RE Jr, Order SE, Spunberg JJ, et al: Isotopic immunoglobulin: A new systemic therapy for advanced Hodgkin's disease. J Clin Oncol 3:1296-1300, 1985
- 200. Vriesendorp HM, Herpst JM, Germack MA, et al: Phase I-B studies of yttrium-labeled antiferritin treatment for end-stage Hodgkin's disease, including Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 87-01. J Clin Oncol 9:918-928, 1991
- 201. Zimmer AM, Rosen ST, Spies SM, et al: Radioimmunotherapy of patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma using an iodine-131labeled monoclonal antibody: Analysis of retreatment following plasmapheresis. J Nucl Med 29:174-180, 1988
- 202. Rosen ST, Zimmer AM, Goldman-Leikin R, et al: Progress in the treatment of cutaneous T cell lymphomas with radiolabeled monoclonal antibodies. Int J Rad Appl Instrum B 16:667-668, 1989
 - 203. Raubitschek AA: Yttrium-90 labeled T101 in the treatment of

hematologic malignancies. Fifth International Conference on Monoclonal Antibody Conjugates for Cancer, San Diego, CA, 1990

- 204. Waldmann TA, White JD, Carrasquillo JA, et al: Radioimmunotherapy of interleukin-2R alpha-expressing adult T-cell leukemia with Yttrium-90-labeled anti-Tac. Blood 86:4063-4075, 1995
- 205. Appelbaum FR, Matthews DC, Eary JF, et al: The use of radiolabeled anti-CD33 antibody to augment marrow irradiation prior to marrow transplantation for acute myelogenous leukemia. Transplantation 54:829-833, 1992
- 206. Caron PC, Schwartz MA, Co MS, et al: Murine and humanized constructs of monoclonal antibody M195 (anti-CD33) for the therapy of acute myelogenous leukemia. Cancer 73:1049-1056, 1994
- 207. Matthews DC, Appelbaum FR, Gary JF, et al: Phase I study of 1311-ami-CD45 antibody plus cyclophosphamide and total body irradiation for advanced acute leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. Blood 90:A1854, 1997 (abstr)
- 208. Matthews DC, Appelbaum FR, Eary JF, et al: Targeted hematopoietic irradiation using [131]-anti-CD45 antibody in patients with acute leukemia undergoing marcow transplantation. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 37:A627, 1996 (abstr)
- 209. Voxe J, Saleh M, Lister A, et al: Indine-131 anti-B1 antibody for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL): Overall clinical trial experience. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:A38, 1998 (abstr)
 - 210. Blankenberg F, Chang JT, Tidmarsh G, et al: Independent

- review of response to iodine-131 anti-B1 antibody in low-grade or transformed low-grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:A77, 1998 (abstr)
- 211. Knox SJ, Goris M, Becker M, et al: ⁹⁰Y anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody therapy (IDEC-Y2B8) for recurrent B cell lymphoma. J Immunother 16:161, 1994 (abstr)
- 212. Waldmann TA: Anti-IL-2 receptor monoclonal antibody (anti-Tac) treatment of T-cell lymphoma. Import Adv Oncol:131-141, 1994
- Schlom J, Molinolo A, Simpson JF, et al: Advantage of dose fractionation in monoclonal antibody-targeted radioimmunotherapy. J Natl Cancer Inst 82:763-771, 1990
- Meredith RF, Khazaeli MB, Liu T, et al: Dose fractionation of radiolabeled antibodies in patients with metastatic colon cancer. J Nucl Med 33:1648-1653, 1992
- 215. Johnson T, Appelbaum F, Maloney D, et al: Synergistic cytotexicity of iodine-131-anti-B1 (anti-CD20) monoclonal antibody in combination with chemotherapeutic agents for treatment of Beell lymphomas. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17.A 106, 1998 (abstr)
- 216. Nikula TK, Finn R, Gansow OA, et al: Alpha particle emitting constructs of recombinant humanized anti-CD33 for myeloid leukemia. J Immunother 16:149, 1994 (abstr)
- 217. Nikula TK, Finn RD, Kozak R, et al: Alpha particle emitting constructs of recombinant humanized anti-CD33 for myeloid leukemias. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 35:A3862, 1994 (abstr)